



# CTDI and DLP in Focus: Strategies for Radiation Dose Optimization in CT Imaging

Sami Smerat<sup>1\*</sup>; Ahmad Abu Arrah<sup>2</sup>; Ibrahim Sraheen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computed Tomography and MRI Sciences, Arab American University (AAUP), Ramallah, Palestine.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Imaging, Arab American University (AAUP), Jenin, Palestine.

## \*Corresponding Author(s): Sami Smerat

Computed Tomography and MRI Sciences, Arab American University, (AAUP), Ramallah, Palestine.

Email: Sami\_sm2006@yahoo.com

Received: Aug 21, 2025

Accepted: Sep 12, 2025

Published Online: Sep 19, 2025

Journal: Journal of Radiology and Medical Imaging

Publisher: MedDocs Publishers LLC

Online edition: <http://meddocsonline.org/>

Copyright: © Smerat S (2025). *This Article is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License*

**Keywords:** Computed tomography dose index; Dose-length product.

## Background

In modern Computed Tomography (CT) imaging, balancing diagnostic image quality with patient safety is a critical goal. Two key metrics—Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDI) and Dose–Length Product (DLP) play central roles in measuring and managing radiation exposure. CTDI reflects the radiation dose per slice, while DLP accounts for the total dose across the entire scanned length. Together, they provide a standardized framework for evaluating radiation output and optimizing CT protocols. As concerns about cumulative radiation exposure grow, especially for vulnerable populations such as children and frequent scan recipients, implementing effective dose optimization strategies has become more important than ever. This article focuses on how CTDI and DLP can be leveraged to minimize radiation dose without compromising diagnostic accuracy, in line with the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle and evolving regulatory standards [6,12]. As a result, controlling radiation exposure in CT scans is critical to maximizing diagnostic advantages while reducing health concerns.

## Abstract

CTDI measures the radiation dose for each individual slice, DLP reflects the cumulative dose across the entire scanned region. Together, these metrics provide a standardized framework for assessing radiation levels, facilitating comparison across different scans and institutions, and ensuring adherence to safety standards. This article delves into the significance of CTDI and DLP in CT imaging, emphasizing their function in optimizing radiation doses and protecting patients. By effectively monitoring these parameters, healthcare providers can achieve the essential balance between acquiring high-quality diagnostic images and minimizing radiation exposure, thus safeguarding patients while maximizing diagnostic confidence compliance with regulatory guidelines.

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) emphasizes that radiation exposure during diagnostic medical procedures should be minimized to the lowest level required to accomplish the intended diagnostic or interventional purpose [16]. To achieve a tailored clinical intention during CT scan, Optimal image quality should be maintained obtained without exposing patients to unnecessary radiation. As a result, established guidelines include reference dose values known as Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) [10].

CTDI reflects the dose from a single slice and serves as a standardized measure of the scanner's output, while DLP accounts for the total dose by incorporating the length of the scanned region. These metrics are important for evaluating and optimizing radiation use during CT procedures. However, they represent estimated exposure levels and do not directly reflect the actual dose absorbed by the patient. To enhance patient safety and imaging quality, Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) are employed as benchmarks to help reduce unnecessary radiation and promote best practices.



**Cite this article:** Smerat S, Arrah AA, Sraheen I. CTDI and DLP in Focus: Strategies for Radiation Dose Optimization in CT Imaging. *J Radiol Med Imaging*. 2025; 8(2): 1105.

## Core principles of radiation dose measurement in CT

Radiation dosimetry in CT involves tracking, estimating, and evaluating the radiation dose patients receive during scans. Understanding these concepts is crucial for preserving diagnostic quality while reducing patient dose and enhancing scan efficiency. Patient doses are calculated based on CT imaging data using CTDI and DLP values. Additionally, effective doses are derived by multiplying the DLP by a region-specific normalization factor, known as the Effective Dose-Length Product (EDLP), as outlined in guidelines from the International Commission on Radiological Protection [3].

### Computed tomography dose index: Definition and applications

The CTDI is a standardized metric used to represent the radiation dose output of a CT scanner per individual slice. It helps estimate the radiation absorbed by the patient during a scan. Earlier, CTDI<sub>100</sub>—measured using a 100-mm ionization chamber—and CTDI<sub>w</sub>—a weighted average dose over one slice—were commonly used. However, in modern helical CT scanners, CTDI<sub>vol</sub> has become the most widely used parameter [3].

**CTDI<sub>100</sub>:** This metric measures the radiation dose from a single axial CT slice using a 100 mm ionization chamber placed inside a standard phantom [5].

$$CTDI_w = 1/3 CTDI_{centre} + 2/3 CTDI_{periphery}$$

To get the entire CTDI volume, divide by the pitch factor (P), which is written as: For a given scanner and set of acquisition parameters, the CTDI volume is fixed and independent of patient size and scan length [7].

CTDI plays a vital role in maintaining consistency in radiation dose measurements across various CT scanners and procedures. It facilitates the comparison of dose levels and helps ensure compliance with established regulatory limits. CTDI is measured using a 100-mm pencil ionization chamber placed in one of two standard phantoms—either 16 cm or 32 cm in diameter. Typically, manufacturers use the 16-cm phantom for head scans and the 32-cm phantom for body scans, including those of the neck [9].

Modern CT scanners often incorporate software that utilizes data from multi-detector arrays to automatically calculate CTDI and DLP, providing a comprehensive estimate of the total radiation dose for complete examinations [1]. Automated dose monitoring systems integrated into CT scanners continuously track and log radiation exposure, offering both real-time feedback and historical dose data. Routine quality assurance programs—such as periodic phantom testing—are vital to ensure the accuracy and consistency of scanner performance [1].

### Understanding the dose-length product (DLP) in CT imaging

The Dose–Length Product (DLP) is a key metric in computed tomography that quantifies the overall radiation exposure a patient receives throughout the entire imaging region, calculated by multiplying the volume CT dose index (CTDI<sub>vol</sub>) by the scan length. DLP provides an important estimate of the potential biological impact of the radiation exposure. While it does not account for patient size, DLP is essential for dose monitoring, comparison across exams, and optimizing radiation safety protocols in clinical practice [15,16].

The Dose–Length Product (DLP) does not consider patient size and, as a result, is not a direct measurement of the absorbed radiation dose. However, when the patient's anteroposterior and lateral dimensions are available, a Size-Specific Dose Estimate (SSDE) can be used to more precisely calculate the actual absorbed dose [11].

To determine the Dose–Length Product (DLP), you first need to calculate or obtain the Volume CT Dose Index (CTDI<sub>vol</sub>) and then multiply it by the scan length. Here's how it's done:

$$DLP = CTDI_{vol} \times \text{Scan Length}$$

- CTDI<sub>vol</sub>: Represents the average dose in milligrays (mGy) delivered to a standard phantom per slice.
- Scan Length: The length of the body area scanned, measured in centimeters (cm).

#### Steps to determine DLP:

##### 1. Measure CTDI<sub>vol</sub>:

Apply a 100 mm ionization chamber for measurement in a phantom to obtain CTDI<sub>vol</sub> (usually provided by the CT scanner software).

##### 2. Record scan length:

This is typically based on the anatomical region being imaged and is also recorded by the CT scanner.

##### 3. Apply the formula:

Multiply CTDI<sub>vol</sub> by scan length to calculate DLP [4].

#### Combined use of CTDI and DLP to evaluate patient radiation exposure

In Computed Tomography (CT), the Computed Tomography Dose Index (CTDI) and the Dose Length Product (DLP) are essential tools for estimating and monitoring patient radiation exposure. While CTDI<sub>vol</sub> provides a measure of radiation dose per slice, DLP extends this by incorporating the total scan length, offering a more comprehensive picture of overall exposure. When used together, these metrics allow radiologists and medical physicists to assess and compare radiation doses, optimize scanning protocols, and ensure adherence to the ALARA (As Low as Reasonably Achievable) principle. This combined approach is critical for improving patient safety, minimizing unnecessary exposure, and maintaining high-quality diagnostic imaging [4].

In clinical practice, CTDI and DLP are combined to optimize CT scanning protocols, aiming to achieve the best image quality with the lowest possible radiation exposure. By carefully adjusting these parameters based on the clinical indication and patient characteristics, healthcare providers can minimize unnecessary radiation doses while ensuring diagnostic effectiveness. (i.e., DLP) is directly proportional to the patient's effective dose [8].

$$\text{Effective Dose (mSv)} = DLP \text{ (mGy}\cdot\text{cm)} \times k$$

The conversion factor *k* varies according to the scanned body region (such as head, chest, or abdomen). This factor enables estimation of potential radiation risks and supports the optimization of CT protocols to reduce patient exposure.

Optimizing CTDI and DLP is essential to balance diagnostic effectiveness with limiting exposure by considering personalized patient attributes significantly influence dose optimization.

For example, Individuals in early life stages are more vulnerable to radiation, requiring reduced doses. Likewise, Dose requirements are affected by individual factors such as body size and BMI, with Higher body mass individuals often needing higher doses to maintain image quality. Additionally, the clinical purpose of the scan impacts dose settings, as some diagnoses require higher-resolution images, which may involve increased radiation. Achieving an appropriate balance demands careful evaluation and adjustment of CTDI and DLP to ensure diagnostically adequate images while limiting unnecessary radiation exposure. This careful approach is vital for protecting patient health and delivering optimal diagnostic results [8].

### Clinical significance of CTDI and DLP in radiation dose management

#### Dose optimization

Understanding and managing CTDI and DLP enables radiologists to minimize radiation exposure while preserving diagnostic image quality, adhering to the ALARA (As Low as Reasonably Achievable) principle [3]. By fine-tuning Variables such as the tube current setting (mA), tube voltage (kVp), and scan time clinicians can lower CTDI and DLP without sacrificing image clarity. Regular protocol review and dose monitoring ensure that each patient receives the lowest possible dose necessary for accurate diagnosis, reducing radiation-related risks and supporting patient-centered care [3].

#### Patient safety

Monitoring the DLP allows for estimation of a patient's effective dose, an important factor in assessing the risk-benefit balance, especially for patients who undergo multiple CT scans. This approach helps to avoid excessive cumulative radiation exposure [2].

#### Regulatory compliance

Compliance with Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) established by regulatory authorities ensures that CT examinations remain within safe radiation dose limits. Consistent measurement and recording of CTDI and DLP values are vital to uphold these standards and maintain standardized, safe imaging practices [2].

#### Practical guidelines for CT dose optimization

This article provides actionable and evidence-based guidelines for optimizing radiation dose during Computed Tomography (CT) examinations. It highlights key strategies to balance image quality with patient safety by minimizing radiation exposure. Topics include patient-specific protocol adjustments, use of advanced dose-reduction technologies, proper equipment calibration, adherence to Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs), and staff training. The goal is to equip radiologists and technologists with practical tools and best practices that ensure high-quality diagnostic images while following the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle to protect patients from unnecessary radiation [14].

#### Progress and prospects in CT radiation safety

- Artificial intelligence (AI): AI-driven tools can predict optimal DLP values from patient and scan data, enhance image reconstruction, streamline workflow, and reduce noise—enabling lower-dose acquisitions without compromising image quality.

- Iterative reconstruction: Advanced reconstruction algorithms can maintain or even improve image clarity at substantially reduced radiation doses.
- Real-time dose monitoring: Emerging systems provide instantaneous feedback during the scan, allowing on-the-fly adjustments to further limit unnecessary exposure.
- These innovations pave the way for personalized imaging, where each protocol is customized to the individual, optimizing diagnostic yield while maximizing safety [13,14].

### Conclusion

Integrating CTDI and DLP into routine clinical practice is essential for balancing diagnostic Efficacy with radiation safety. Continuous monitoring of these dose metrics, combined with Efficacy with radiation safety. Continuous monitoring of these dose metrics, combined with Efficacy with radiation safety. Continuous monitoring of these dose metrics, combined with protocol adjustments tailored to individual patient characteristics, enables radiologists to obtain nursing Trade-offs Between Dose and Image Quality Minimization in CT. Standardizing CT procedures based on CTDI and DLP values ensures consistency across examinations, supports adherence to Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) set by regulatory bodies, and underscores a strong commitment to patient safety.

### References

1. Al-OTHman A. Radiation dose optimization for routine radiological studies using computed tomography: a comparison based on national dose reference levels and effective dose calculation. Alfaisal University (Saudi Arabia). 2022.
2. Alruwaili T, Alsubaie B, Altmyat S, Soliman K. Radiation dose survey of pediatric chest computed tomography examinations: a local diagnostic reference levels approach to patient safety. *J Appl Math Phys.* 2023; 11: 2137–2143.
3. Arfat M, Haq A, Beg T, Jaleel G. Optimization of CT radiation dose: insight into DLP and CTDI. *Future Health.* 2024; 2: 148–152.
4. Damilakis J. CT dosimetry: what has been achieved and what remains to be done. *Invest Radiol.* 2021; 56: 62–68.
5. Fan Y, Qin T, Sun Q, Wang M, Wang J, Liang B. Measurement of axial dose profile for wide detector CT using radiochromic films. *Sci Rep.* 2025; 15: 8042.
6. Hall E, Brenner D. Cancer risks from diagnostic radiology: the impact of new epidemiological data. *Br J Radiol.* 2012; 85: e1316–e1317.
7. Hamberg LM, Rhea JT, Hunter GJ, Thrall JH. Multi-detector row CT: radiation dose characteristics. *Radiology.* 2003; 226: 762–772.
8. Inoue Y, Ohkubo Y, Nagahara K, Uehara A, Takano M. Conversion from dose length product to effective dose for the CT component of whole-body PET/CT. *Ann Nucl Med.* 2022; 36: 411–419.
9. Matsunaga Y, Kondo Y, Kobayashi K, Kobayashi M, Minami K, Suzuki S, Asada Y. Volume CT dose index and dose-length product values according to facility size in Japan. *Radiat Prot Dosim.* 2020; 188: 261–269.
10. MeÅ N. Comparison of image quality and different radiation doses in computerized tomographic diagnostics. *Acta Med Salliniana.* 2021; 50: 1–2.

11. Morgan M, Chieng R, Yap J. Dose length product. Radiopaedia Reference Article.
12. Phase B. Health risks from exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation. Washington, DC: The British Institute of Radiology. 2006.
13. Raman SP, Mahesh M, Blasko RV, Fishman EK. CT scan parameters and radiation dose: practical advice for radiologists. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2013; 10: 840–846.
14. Seeram E. Dose optimization in digital radiography and computed tomography: an essential guide. Springer Nature. 2023.
15. Siegel MJ, Schmidt B, Bradley D, Suess C, Hildebolt C. Radiation dose and image quality in pediatric CT: effect of technical factors and phantom size and shape. *Radiology*. 2004; 233: 515–522.
16. Vassileva J, Applegate K, Paulo G, Vano E, Holmberg O. Strengthening radiation protection education and training of health professionals: conclusions from an IAEA meeting. *J Radiol Prot*. 2022; 42: 011504.