Total Elbow Implant in Adolescents
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Abstract

A destroyed elbow with severely limited-without function and/or pain in adolescents can be treated by resection arthroplasty but not always the results are satisfactory like expected, because joint surfaces remain painful, incongruent and unstable. The question should be: could be reasonable to implant a prosthesis?.

We refer three cases treated in this manner considering other alternatives not available.
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Introduction

In case of elbow destruction, as first choice, may be done an excision arthroplasty with interposition of cutis, Iyodura or the like, but rehabilitation is generally demanding and time-consuming, less comfortable and uncertain outcome. The rate of residual pain can be high. Then, can a prosthetic implant be a reasonable alternative to biological arthroplasty in adolescents? This choice include the fact that an implanting operation is easier than biological athroplasty, the rehabilitation less painful and the recovery is faster and an elbow more stable and has a wider Range Of Motion (ROM). The drawbacks, instead, could include the fact that it can potentially translate to less professional opportunities in the future, the implant duration and what to do in case of revision: New implant or arthodesis or other solution.

In literature no publication is reported on this topic [1-5].

Material and Methods

We have treated 3 cases with Morrey (2) and Discovery (1) implants.

First was girl 13 y. old (case 1) with stiff-ankilosed right elbow at 90° post septic osteoarthritis suffered at 7 years. To follow-up at 3 years ROM was 120° in flexion and 15° in extension.

Second patient (case 2): 14 years old boy with postraumatic stiff elbow treated by Morrey implant (injured 3 years before). At the follow-up, after 3 years, recovery was ROM 0°-80° painless.

Last patient: 15 years old boy, with destroyed joint in painfull subluxated left elbow (complex trauma 2 years before) treated by Discovery implant. At follow-up, after 15 years, recovery was almost complete without pain. But after 17 years post-implant the elbow became slightly painful under strain. The x-rays show loosening in ulnar component: Frequent monitorings of the evolution will indicate what to do!
Case 1

R.H. 13 yrs
Arthrodesis post acute osteo-arthritis at 7 yrs old
F.U. 3 yrs

Case 2

W.L. 14 yrs
Post-traumatic elbow stiffness (at 11 yrs old)
F.U. 4 yrs

Case 3: X-ray and clinical pictures preoperative and postoperative implant. Below: Radiographic control at 15 years-no loosening; at 17 years-loosening in ulnar component.

Conclusion

The question of the best way to treat a destroyed elbow in adolescents is: a-biological arthroplasty or b-prosthetic implant? This still a matter of discussion. Because unsatisfactory results in our experience (also in literature-Lanzerath) with biological arthroplasty, we have chose a prosthesis.
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