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Abstract

Background: Catheter-Related Infections (CRI), thrombosis, and stenosis are among the most frequent complications associated with catheters which are inserted in vessels as vascular access. These problems are usually related to the handling of the staff, the catheter materials, and the surface properties of the catheter. To mitigate such complications surface treatment processes of the outer surface, such as ion beam assisted deposition, are investigated in a retrospective study from 1992 to 2007, to prove if the surface treatment of the catheters is a sufficient solution. In comparison the first retrospective study (1979-1990) with 2,626 catheters in 1,627 patients is shown.

Methods: The second retrospective study (1992-2007) evaluated silver coated and non-coated implanted large-bore catheters used for extracorporeal detoxification. In 159 patients, 54 patients received a silver coated catheter (Spi-Argent, Spire Corporation, Bedford, MA, USA) and 105 patients an untreated catheter served as controls. The catheters were inserted into the internal jugular or subclavian veins. After removal, the catheters were cultured for bacterial colonization using standard microbiologic assays. They also were examined using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

Results: The silver coated catheters showed a tendency towards longer in situ time. The microbiologic examinations of the catheter tips were in both catheter types high positive, but not significant.

Conclusion: The silver coated catheters showed no significantly reduction in infection rate by evaluation of all collected data in this retrospective study. There was no association between the both catheters in significant reducing patient discomfort. Other surface treatments which include the outer and inner surface are necessary. New developed catheter material such as the microdomain structured inner and outer surface, as an example, are considered more biocompatible because they mimic the structure of natural biological surface.

Introduction

Despite Technical innovations in Hemodialysis (HD) in the last 30 to 50 years, the problem of providing temporary or permanent vascular access appears to have found no satisfactory solution. Temporary vascular access, in particular, still presents considerable problems [1].

Synthetic catheters are increasingly used for intensive medical treatment and extracorporeal detoxification procedure. Correspondingly, typical complications such as infections and thrombosis are also on the increase. Infections present a particular problem as they can appear at any time, even years after an implantation, and may affect all materials. Complications rates due to infections for venous catheters are given at between 34 % and 40 % [2].
Over the last years, many authors have introduced inferior Vena Cava (IVC) catheterization rather than use the femoral vein and the Superior Vena Cava (SVC) rather than the subclavian or internal jugular veins, using large bore catheters [3-11]. The catheterization of the femoral vessels as described by Shal- don et al. [3], Kjellstrand et al. [4], produces more complications than the catheterization of the SVC. Cannulation of the SCV versus the subclavian vein as described by Schwarzbeck et al. [5], De Cubber et al. [6], Uldall et al. [7], and Flynn et al. [8] is difficult to implement and involves a higher complication rate. Using the intravascular catheterization technique, it is often difficult to push the large bore under the clavicle [7]. Because of the anatomic position of the subclavian vein, thrombosis or perforation is more likely with a rigid, large bore catheter, apart from the danger of causing a pneumothorax or hemothorax [6]. Therefore, in 1979 Bambauer et al. introduced catheterization of the SVC with large bore catheters via the internal jugular vein [10]. This cannulation of the SVC appears to be a most appropriate route for rapid vascular access for extracorporeal treatment.

The IVC and SVC are particular suitable as central vascular access points. The IVC is reached by puncturing the femoral vein and placing the catheter. If the catheter remains in place for a long time (> 10 days), there is risk of potentially lethal complications developing, such as bacterial infection and/or thrombembolism, although the complication rate is low for catheters that remain in place for a short time (< 10 days), or if they are removed after each hemodialysis treatment [4]. Because of the high complication rate, the authors used this technique only in a few patients and only for particular indications. The SVC can be reached by puncturing the subclavian or internal jugular vein, and in the following sections only these two puncture methods were used. Due to the risk of thrombosis and late stenosis, if possible, subclavian veins should be avoided for extracorporeal detoxification methods access in adults [12].

If the catheter must remain in position over a long period of time, bacteria may invade the entry site or the catheter lumen. Therefore, it often is appropriate for both subclavian and internal jugular vein catheters to be turreled subcutaneous over 2 – 5 cm. These catheters have most cuffs which allow for the growth of fibroblasts at the insertion site, increasing the stabil- ity of the catheter placement while regarding the risk of infection [13,14]. If the catheter must be removed, its position should be checked.

Dialysis catheters are used for vascular access in 65 % of incident Hemodialysis (HD) patients, and in 25 % of the prevalent HD populations [15]. Today the first choice of vascular access is the vena cave superior over the internal jugular vein [12].

Catheter-related bacteremia is a major cause of morbidity among hemodialysis patients. Treatment with systemic antibi- otics alone without removal of the catheter fails to definitely eradicate the infection in most patients [13]. Catheter-related bacteremia must be managed by either catheter removal with delayed placement of a new catheter or manage of the infected catheter with a new catheter over a guide-wire and additional systemic antibiotic therapy. These catheter-related complica- tions are contributing factors to increasing cost of medical care. They are responsible for patient readmissions and longer hospital stays as well as patient discomfort, morbidity, and occasional mortality.

The source of catheter-related bacteremia is in most patients a bacterial biofilm, which forms in the catheter lumen or on the outer surface. This biofilm, most consisting of staphylococcus aureus, cannot be destroyed or eliminated by a systemic antibi- otic therapy because of antimicrobial resistance [16]. Bacteria could most of the time colonize, rough surfaces [17]. The combi- nation of rough surfaces and protein deposits should be an ideal situation for the colonization of bacteria. The bacteria could produce and become covered with a slime layer, in which case antibiotic drugs have no influence on the bacteria. The bacteria under the slime layer use the organic substances of the catheter material for their metabolism. The toxins of the bacteria can penetrate the slime layer and enter the patient blood provoking a catheter infection [18]. Biofilm is a microbial derived sessile community characterized by cells that are irreversibly attached to a substratum or interface to each other, embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances that have produced [19]. Such a biofilm can be the origin of fibrin sheath formations leading to catheter dysfunction due to blood reducing and to blood disturbances. The therapy must be to remove the catheter immediately, or exchange it over a guide-wire with a new catheter and additional systemic antibiotic therapy.

Biocompatibility of synthetic materials is another major prob- lem. The interaction of blood with a synthetic surface causes coagulation and activation of the complement system. This can lead to the adsorption of various proteins and the formation of a layer of protein on the synthetic surface. Thrombocytes, other cells and bacteria adhere to this layer of protein so that thrombi may form which can lead to blood flow disturbances and cathe- ter dysfunction [20].

Therefore, it often becomes necessary to strike a comprise so that a material has acceptable properties in each pertinent area the compromise is often between bulk and surface properties. For example, in a hemodialysis catheter – this demands both good flexible material rather than a supplier one with unaccept- able high friction. Even after thorough consideration of all op- tions and selection of the best selection of the best available material, surface properties often continue to limit perform- ance and function, in some cases prohibitively. The interaction of blood and synthetic surfaces causes activation of the coagulation and complement system. This leads to the adsorption of various proteins and the formation of a layer of protein on the synthetic surface [1,2].

To influence catheter-related bacteremia different new de- velopments are available today, such as new catheter materials, coating of the catheter surface with antibiotic-heparin, or silver and silicone, cuffs on the outer surface, catheter for tunnelling, installation of an antibiotic-anticoagulant lock into the catheter lumen after the HD, etc [16,21,22]. The first results with avail- able catheters which coated on the outer surface with silver or silicone were encouraged [23].

In the first retrospective study (1979-1990) with 2,626 large bore catheters in 1,627 patients, the frequency of infections, thrombosis, bleeding, and other side effects was investigated [1]. All complications and side effects are presented dependent upon vascular route. In the second retrospective study (1992 – 2007), outer surface treated catheter with silver versus untreated catheter in 159 patients, who needed a large-bore catheter, were investigated. The results of a preliminary study from 2001, which showed 75% decline in the infection rate with the surface treated catheters, cannot be confirmed with the present study. One reason may be that in the surface treated catheters only the outer surface was coated with silver and the possibility of
contamination by the handling during the extracorporeal treatments [24].

Therefore new materials and surface treatment technologies are needed to save health care costs for hemodialysis catheters, to reduce infection rates and thrombus formations and help to improve the patients’ outcome. The handling of the catheter by the attending staff must be improved and done after the guidelines of different medical communities [25]. Beside the two retrospective studies, the authors try to give an overview of the surface treated catheters and show as an example a new technique of microdomain-structured surface catheters (PUR-SMA coated catheters, Gambro, Germany) if these technologies are useful in reducing catheter-related infections and thrombogenicities [26].

Catheter and material

The large bore-catheter has been frequently modified over recent years and all models available are of a similar construction. All available single-, double-, or triple-lumen catheters have some deficiencies depending on the material. Not all catheters are radiopaque. No problem is experienced with the polyurethane catheters after the incorporation of contrast media; however, the latter material may affect catheter durability when using Teflon. This problem was overcome by making a thicker catheter wall, but this caused endothelial irritation, possibility of perforations and early thrombus formation [26,27]. Catheters providing radio contrast are not absolutely necessary however, because their position can be controlled more simply and gently with an intra-atrial electrocardiogram (ia-ECG) lead [28]. The three most important criteria of any catheter material are a good tolerance, a low thrombogenicity, and a low infection rate.

Rarely do the material properties perfectly match every requirement in a given application and biomaterials are no exception. Therefore, it often becomes necessary to strike a compromise so that a material has acceptable properties. For example, in a product such a hemodialysis catheter, which demands both good flexibility and low surface friction, the best candidate may be a slippery, less flexible material rather than a supplier one with unacceptable high friction [29].

The importance of surface-engineered biomaterials has been recognized by major medical device companies; because surface modification processes can reduces the rate of infection, thrombogenicity, and other catheter-related complications without adversely affecting the basic design function of catheters.

Although the field of surface-engineered biomaterials is still essentially in its infancy, the range of services currently offered by surface treated vendors is varied and continually expanding. Surface modification processes can reduces the rate of infection, thrombogenicity, and other catheter-related complications without adversely affecting the basic design function of catheters. Examples include conventional coating process such as depending and spraying; vacuum-deposition techniques (e.g., sputtering), and surface modification approaches such as diffusion (e.g., nitriding, carburizing), laser and plasma processes, chemical plating, grafting or bonding, and bombardment with energetic particles (as in plasma immersion or ion implantation). Of the available techniques, those based on ionised particle bombardment have been particularly successful in biomaterial surface modification, primarily because they combine versatility and low-temperatures processing with superior control, reliability, and reproducibility [29,30].

The ion beam-based technology used for the treatment of catheters covered herein is ion beam-assisted deposition (IBAD: Spi-Argent®, Spire corporation, Bedford, MA, USA) [20,29,31]. The process is typically performed at low temperature under high vacuum. The affected layer in the typical films deposited by the IBAD process is in the order of 1 µm or less vacuum-compatible catheter materials may, therefore, be treated without adversely affecting bulk mechanical properties. The IBAD is line-of-directly: however, parts with complicated geometries may be manipulated for uniform coverage of all surfaces [30].

Silver has been indicated as a good prospect for an infection-resistant coating material for catheters. The problem previously preventing the use of silver on catheters has been the inability to deposit adherent films of silver on flexible polymeric substances. The IBAD process permits the formation of silver coatings at a relatively low temperature with extremely good adhesion that prevents delamination of the film during extended exposure to bodily fluids. The IBAD silver-deposited film has a low coefficient of friction, is highly uniform, and has a cytotoxicity test and the USP Systemic Injection Test. Excellent results were obtained in both tests [29,30,32,33].

Another possibility shows the new developed catheter material, the microdomain structured surface (PUR-SMA coated catheter, Gambro Germany) [27]. Microdomain surfaces are considered the most biocompatible because the mimic the structure of natural biological surfaces. Microdomain structures are used to match the multiple requirements for improved catheter surfaces that are reduced thrombogenicity and improved antimicrobial properties. An SMA-modified polyurethane coating consists of hydrophobic and hydrophilic microdomain in range below 50 nmm. Up to 50 percent of the molecule is presented to the surface and creates microdomain structured surfaces. If the domains are below a critical dimension of approximately 100 nmm, theoretical considerations indicate that interaction with proteins, blood cells, or even bacteria will be unstable and therefore not occur as frequently as on non-microdomain structured surfaces.

Patients

In the first retrospective study of a single center from 1979 to 1990 2,626 large bore catheters were inserted in 1,627 patients [1]. In 35.5 % (n = 931) of all catheter insertions, the indication was acute exacerbation of chronic renal insufficiency, and hemodialysis was required. Of all catheter insertions, clotted shunts in long-term patients were an indication in 18 % (n = 473), emergency hemodialysis in acute renal failure in 22.5 % (n = 590), therapeutic apheresis or other extracorporeal blood treatments in 24.0 % (n = 632) (Table 1).
Table 1: Indications for the insertion of large bore catheters (n = 2,626 [1]; n = 159 [24]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Untreated Catheter (1) (n=2,626, 1,627 patients)</th>
<th>Untreated/Treated Catheter (24) (n = 159, 159 patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure (HD, TPE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Acute exacerbation of chronic renal</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>---*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insufficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Clotting fistula in ESRD</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Acute kidney injury (AKI)</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- postoperative polytrauma</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- septic toxic shock</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- hepatorenal syndrome</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- exogenous intoxication</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- EPH gestosis</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hemolytic uremic syndrome</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Connective tissue disease</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hemolysis, myolysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dissiminated intravascular coagulation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Septicemia, abscesses</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(catheter-related) [21]</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bleeding (catheter-related)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter thrombosis and faults in Cath-</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eter material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia - LDL apheresis</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic apheresis, hemoperfusion,</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasmaperfusion, miscellaneous</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not indicated

In the second retrospective study of a single center from 1992 to 2007, all catheter data of all included patients were collected from the patient’s charts. The inclusion criteria were patients >18 years of age who required a large-bore catheter (in-/outpatient), were free of bacteremia and provided informed consent. The exclusion criteria were a pregnant or lactating female, a hypersensitivity of silver and a bacteremia at the time of catheter insertion. An IRB approval was in 1992 not necessary [24]. After the patients had given their consent to this study, the physician chose the catheter which he inserted after a randomization of one surface treated catheter, and than two untreated catheters, and so on. A total of 159 patients (age 66.5 ± 13.2 years, female n = 94 (59 %)) are involved. Large-bore, single-lumen catheters were inserted percutaneously in the internal jugular or subclavian veins. The percutaneously catheterization was necessary in renal failure because of Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) for hemodialysis due to cardio-vascular disease, postoperative AKI etc., and in End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) because of clotting fistula, septicemia, abscess and catheter thrombosis and faults in the catheter material (n = 138; 86.8%). Further indications of catheterization were access problems in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (n = 12; 7.5%) under LDL-apheresis treatment, different indications for plasmapheresis (n = 7; 4.4%) and in 2 patients with carcinoma (n = 2; 1.3%) (Table 1).

In 54 patients (34%) a catheter with silver coating on the outer surface (Spi-Argent®, Spire, Bedford, MA, USA) was inserted and 105 patients (66%) received untreated catheters after a randomization of one treated and two untreated catheters. Patients with untreated catheters were younger (62.2 ± 16.2 versus 68.8 ± 10.7, p = 0.003) but there were no differences between the groups regarding gender distribution, diagnosis, or extracorporeal detoxification methods. Catheterization must always be done under aseptic conditions (wearing sterile gown each time, sterile gloves, mask etc). The patient should be correctly positioned, according to the vascular access point to be used and should be given adequate local anesthetic.

The catheters were placed by nephrologists after the Seldinger technique and/or under fluoroscopic guidance. Before percutaneously insertion each patient skin was disinfected using a consistent method, and a sterile skin smear was taken for microbiologic examination, and than the catheter was inserted. Before fixing the catheter with a suture, its position (particularly the catheter tip) should be checked with a normal radiological control and/or with an ia ECG [28]. Before and after the extracorporeal detoxification procedures, the staff worked under sterile conditions with disinfection and sterile gloves etc. In long-term catheters, a blood smear was taken every 4 weeks or earlier if an inflammation was seen on the insertion side of the catheter to screen for bacteria. Catheters were removed either when other vascular access routes became available or when serious infections developed, or if the catheter was not longer necessary.

Before catheter removal, a skin smear was taken. The catheters were then removed under sterile conditions, and the tip was examined bacteriologically. In the second study the remainder of the catheter was rinsed in physiological saline solution and fixed in a solution of phosphate buffer containing glutaralde-
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 13.0). All continuous data were presented as mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) or if the data showed no normal distribution, as median and range. Dichotomous data were presented as a number (n) or in percent (%). Univariate, unadjusted analysis was performed with the independent samples t-test, chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test for frequencies at or below 5 and the Wilcoxon’s rank sum test. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated and multivaria’s analysis was used to evaluate the presence of associated variables. Significance was defined at the 0.05 level.

Results

The complications of catheterization of the first study are summarized in Table 2. Most complications were due to abscesses or sepsicaemia in patients in 22.8 % (n = 96) of the subclavian catheters versus 9.5 % (n = 200) of the internal jugular catheters [1]. The most common bacteria was Staphylococcus epidermidis, which usually apathogen. The most resistant bacteria found, was a drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus. In most cases, the catheter must be removed immediately, which was the most effective therapy in all cases [4] (Table 3). The complication rates for puncture of the artery were 4 % (n = 84) using the internal jugular vein and 0.9 % (n = 5) for the subclavian vein. Consequently, the rate of puncture was higher for the subclavian vein than the internal jugular vein; occurrence rates of thrombosis and bleeding were 1.3 % (n = 27) versus 7.2 % (n = 37). Pneumo- or hematothorax was not observed after puncture of the internal jugular vein, but after puncturing of the subclavian vein (Table 2).

Table 2: Complications with internal jugular and subclavian vein puncture (n = 2,626; n = 1,627 patients) [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Internal jugular vein</th>
<th>Subclavian vein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puncture of artery</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puncture not possible</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess puncture area</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septicemia (catheter-related)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombosis (catheter-related)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding (catheter-related)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumo/hematothorax</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faults in catheter material</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>502</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Bacterial contamination of large bore catheters in patients with abscesses and sepsicaemia in untreated catheters (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Internal jugular catheter (n = 200)</th>
<th>Subclavian vein catheter (n = 96)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus epidermis</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrococcus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second study the median in situ period untreated and silver coated catheters were 138.9 (range, 1 - 1,845) and 115.0 (range, 4 - 1,348) days respectively (p = 0.653) [24]. Calculating the in situ times after classification for different age groups, it will be overt, that in patients older than 45 years in situ times were significantly longer (p < 0.01). Comparing the in situ times of untreated catheters after classification for in situ times, there was a tendency towards longer in situ times for the silver coated catheters. In the median catheters were used for 44 (range, 1 - 670) treatment sessions. Untreated catheters were used for 51 (range, 1 - 625) treatments, silver coated catheters for 39 (range, 1 - 670, p = 0.849) treatment sessions [24]. Performing microbiologic examinations of the catheter tips some differences were overt. Of the untreated catheter tips 55 % cultured positive for bacteria. Of the cultures in patients with surface treated catheters 52 % were positive, not significantly lower. Although untreated catheters showed a lower infection rate with Staphylococcus aureus, in treated catheters the infection rate with Staphylococcus epidermidis, pseudomonas, and others such as saprophytes was not significantly lower (Table 4). A catheter thrombosis rate was not proved in the removed catheters.
Performing multivariante analysis there was a strong association between catheters’ in situ period (R-square = 0.96), the number of treatment sessions (β = 0.97, p < 0.001) and patients’ age (β = 0.095, p = 0.002) in the second study. There was no association between the in situ time and silver coated/untreated catheters, results of the bacteriological examination, and patient diagnosis outcome. Catheter malfunction or fibrin sheath formation as an outcome of both groups was not investigated [24].

The decrease of the infection rate in surface treated catheter in the preliminary study from 2001 cannot be seen in this presented study from 1992 to 2007. An explanation could be that all and more available data are now evaluated. The untreated catheters showed a higher positive culture for bacteria of 55% versus 52% to the surface treated catheters, but without significance. The procedure for both studies was the same [24].

The PUR-SMA coating prevents contact of blood components with barium sulphate, possibly leading to leaching as particles or dissolved in the surrounding media. The advantage of the PUR-SMA surface treatment is the coating of the inner and the outer surface in contrast to the ion beam-based surface treatment technologies in which can be treated only the outer surface of the catheters. The preliminary results with these PUR-SMA coated catheters showed a good biocompatibility without any blood deposits and a low thrombogenicity and coagulation activity. The microbiological results were low and of those from the Spi-Argent® catheters [24].

**Discussion**

Catheterization with large bore catheters has replaced the previous use of a Scribner shunt and is being increasingly used. Puncturing the internal jugular or the subclavian veins for temporary venous access is usually successful. The most dangerous complications aside from accidental puncture of an artery are catheter-related infections [24,34]. Infection rates range from 5% to 30%; these rates do not depend on the route of vascular access [23,24,34].

In addition to colonization, biocompatibility of a catheter material is an important contributing factor to a successful clinical outcome, particularly in catheters that remain in situ for several weeks or months. Although improved since the use of centrally placed catheters, the incidence of catheter clotting was previously very high.

Infection rates range from 5 to 30% and the most bacteria found is the *Staphylococcus aureus*. These rates do not depend on the route of vascular access [35]. Catheter-related *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia is one of the main causes of morbidity and preventable cause of death in hemodialysis. Patients on dialysis are at a high risk of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia and they have a four times higher mortality from central venous catheter-related Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia than other patients [17,36,37].

Recent data have suggested that Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MSRA) and Vancomycin Intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA) organisms may have increased [38]. One of the proposed mechanisms of vancomycin resistance is the bacterial cell wall thickening following vancomycin exposure [39]. Vancomycin’s activity may be decreased due to the thickness of the bacterial cell; the results are MSRA and VISA [40].

In the first study the authors Bambauer et al. found in 296 investigated large bore catheters in 23% (n = 66) catheters and in the second study in 32.7% (n = 52) catheters staphylococcus aureus [1,24].

To reduce infection rates and thrombogenicity, coated catheters and cuffs were investigated [41-44]. The clinical results of our preliminary investigations showed a significantly reduced infection rate in treated versus untreated catheters, a reduction of more than 75% [20]. With the silver surface treatment, a very smooth metallic surface was obtained which was responsible for a lower thrombogenicity rate. The activation of coagulation factors at the catheter surfaces, and the catheter thrombosis rate was not investigated. Silver ions are bactericidal; therefore, no bacteria growth is possible on the treated catheter surface. The positive association between the in situ time of the catheters and the patients’ age may be because of an alteration of the immune system in elderly patients, especially in hemodialysis patients.

But in our retrospective study of all silver coated catheters no significantly reduction in infection rate, improvement, or life expectancy of silver coated versus untreated catheters, which were inserted during 1992 and 2007, was observed. One reason can be that with the IBAD technology only the outer surface is coated with silver. The postulated penetration of silver ions from the outer to the inner surface cannot be shown with these results. The only outer surface treated surface catheters with silver have no advantage in point of view of reducing infection rate and improvement of patients versus the untreated catheters. The handling of the catheters under sterile conditions before, during and after the extracorporeal treatments probably cannot prevent the contamination with bacteria, especially the untreated inner side.

Based on these results, new materials must be developed, which should have better biocompatibility to reduce side effects so that they can be left in situ for a long time, because the part of dialysis patients with vascular problems is increasing in the last decade, and now about 30% of all hemodialysis patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Untreated (n)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Treated (n)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>n.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.epidermidis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to these tremendous high costs it must be possible of scientists, physicians, bioengineers and others to develop new techniques and new materials to reduce these high costs and to increase the improvement of patients.

But besides the high costs due catheter-related infections, the patients’ longer hospital stays, and patients discomfort, mortality, and occasionally mortality are the most important problems which must be resolved. To reduce these complications it is necessary that the handling of the catheters must be done first after the numerous recommendation and guidelines available in the literature [25,60,61].

Surface treatment of catheter is necessary, but of the inner and the outer surface. Therefore new technology must be developed for the surface treatment with antibiotics, antimicrobial substances and/or metal. New material and new-polymer-antibiotic systems are demanded. The developed of new biofilm to serve in a communication system termed quorum sensing [53], or molecules which inhibit quorum sensing signal generation among organism could block microbial biofilm formation [54]. This requirement shows perhaps the new developed catheter material, the microdomain-structured surface (PUR-SMA-coated catheters, Gambro, Germany) [20]. Microdomain surfaces are considered the most biocompatible because they mimic the structure of natural biological surfaces. Microdomain structures are used to match the multiple requirements for improved catheter surfaces that are reduced thrombogenicity and improved antimicrobial properties. First results with these catheters are very encouraged.

After various authors is important, if the use of a large-bore catheter is inevitable, insertion in the right internal jugular vein is preferred, as the incidence of complications is less likely [1,10,13,14,62,63]. Treatment of elderly patients who commence HD with a large-bore catheter should be planned considering aspects of individual clinical risk assessment [64]. Most important is the improvement of the handling of the catheters by the attending staff which is recommended in numerous available guidelines to reduce the tremendous high costs to treat the CRI and the discomfort and morbidity of the patients.

**Conclusion**

In the both retrospective studies from 1979-1990 and 1992-2007 beside the complication and infection rates the outer surface treated catheters with silver versus untreated catheters in 159 patients, who needed a large bore catheter, were investigated. The results shown that catheters which were surface treated only of the outside have no advantages versus untreated catheters. There was no association between the in situ time and silver coated/uncoted catheters, result of the bacteriological examination, and patients’ diagnosis or outcome. Reasons may be that in the surface treated catheters only the outer surface was coated with silver and the possibility of contamination by the handling during the extracorporeal treatments. Therefore new materials and surface treatment but on both surfaces, the inner and outer surface, are needed to save the tremendous high health costs for hemodialysis catheters, to reduce infection rates, and thrombus formations and help to improve the patients outcome.
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