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Abstract

The medical specialty of obstetrics and gynecology has traditionally been dedicated to the care of pregnancy and childbirth and to the diagnosis and treatment of diseases of the female genital tract.

In recent years, according to epidemiological and demographic changes, the social role of women from the professional and labor point of view has changed in an extraordinary way.

In this way, gynecology faces the challenge of moving from the status of “doctor who cares for women” to being a true “carer of women’s health” throughout their lives, in an extensive manner and multidisciplinary approach to many of the pathologies that affect their quality of life.
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Introduction

In general terms, we could define the specialty of Obstetrics and Gynecology (hereinafter G&O), as the field of medicine that specializes in the care of women during pregnancy and childbirth, and in the diagnosis and treatment of diseases of the organs female reproductive. With this, it is intended that the women of the world reach the highest possible standards of health and physical, mental, reproductive and sexual well-being throughout their lives [1]. As old as humanity, the care of women in a way specifically linked to the regarding pregnancy and childbirth, they have been constant in the different societies in which life has developed. Much more modernly, barely a century or a little more, the general care of women has been spreading to the extent that survival first, longevity later, made their way into our vital evolution. To all this, if anything, and

even more closely in time, one could add in a similar sense, the alterations of the male reproductive system in relation (exclusively) to the search for fertility.

In this constant and changing evolution, like life itself, our specialty of G&O is today dynamically witnessing a continuous and perpetual “paradigm change” [2]. All this surely hand in hand with the multiple social changes (and even demographic), that women themselves have been leading, over the past 100 years (suffering many times, which of course must also be recognized), until completing a path that has caused a better and greater attention to health care over even other more “Classic” functions.

To speak, therefore, of the evolution of G&O is inevitably to refer to the evolution of the woman herself [3] and her role in the world, since ours is a specialty that, far from attending only to the disease, deals extensively and more intense each time, of health care and the evolution of a situation that is so physiological and essential for the future of humanity, such as gestation, its search when it does not arrive, and everything related to both situations and their complications and evolutions.

The objective of this short clinical note is to succinctly review those circumstances of all kinds (medical and biological, but also social and cultural) that condition the paradigm changes that gynecology faces in this well-entered 21st century. Similarly, perhaps provide some guidelines for reflection on these changes in future direction.

Reflections for paradigm changes

Indeed, women have gradually changed their position in a radical way, occasionally in a more abrupt or intense way..., but qualitatively and quantitatively definitively in the world (at least in the Western world), presenting very different needs, with an “Empowerment” generalized and at all levels [4]. With it, our specialty has been forced to assume cultural changes, with different beliefs and origins of the women themselves that forced, at different times in history, to modify protocols and diverse approaches for different pathologies.

 Barely half a century ago, various alternative forms of fertility [5] were described by gynecologists (among others), even designing new forms of maternity, thus offering an alternative fertility to the natural one of the past 400,000 years (with in vitro fertilization, fertility deferred or without a partner [6], the possibility of procreating even beyond menopause in “older” women [7], the cryopreservation of ovarian tissue before its definitive damage resulting from a necessary iatrogenesis, maternal surrogacy that even unfolds the (once) immutable role of the old “mother there is only one”..., etc, etc).

With the social changes came the modifications even of civil ethics, always under revision, permanently changing like life itself [8,9]. Trying to manage the past from the point of view provided by current thinking or the prevailing civil ethics today is not only a serious error, but also leads to permanent frustration due to the (wrong) value judgment that it would entail for people, attitudes and stories that because they are dead at least, they do not have the slightest opportunity not only to defend themselves but perhaps even to explain their positions of yesteryear [10]. In this context of permanent change, our specialty today has taken as its commitment to the identification and report to the police of violence against women in any of its states and links. Thus, the gynecologist assumes a role that goes beyond health care itself for diseases and conditions of the female genital tract and transcends care and empathy with women (not sick), insofar as they are different and susceptible to receiving verbal damage, psychological or physical.

Finally, at least for now, while our specialty is the true queen and pioneer of minimally invasive and endoscopic surgery at all levels (abdominal, perineal, even breast...) [11], while witnessing the unstoppable development of surgery to distance and robotized [12], turns over time from a primitive and fundamentally surgical perspective, to increasingly less invasive, less surgical extremes, more on the side of internal medicine with the approach of healthy aging as a banner in a continuously older population that assumes regenerative medicine and repair of senility [13] in a classic medical-surgical specialty, less and less surgical and more and more medical.

In this order of things, the gynecologist becomes a true “internist for women”, with new diseases for him such as climacteric care and aging with hormonal decline, osteoporosis or sarcopenia that cause such much disability in a world that honors even the puerile adoration of the increasingly immature youth, while socially despising the “sexalescence” [14] and the creativity of the (previously misnamed) “third age” and the “silver economy” [15], true engines of entrepreneurship beyond retirement.

Thus, in this dynamic context, the gynecologist assumes to be more than “the woman’s family doctor”, to the point of mutating and being the “caregiver or healthprovider of women’s health throughout life”; the authentic alma mater of this blessed specialty that since assistance at the beginning of life, is obstinate in staying by her side while she lives her dreams of professional fulfillment in a changing environment and in permanent crisis.

Let’s toast to those paradigm shifts that history forces us to make every day and let’s hope that this very future grants us sufficient capacity to adapt to all of them and pilot them in an environment that will always be imbued with risk and uncertainty, such as biology. same of the woman; perhaps combating ignorance and empathy are the (only) keys to achieving it [16].

Final comments

It is extraordinary to conclude anything concrete when constant change is the work dynamic not only of our specialty, but of biology and of life itself. In relation to the issue that concerns us today, there are surely more factors (than those briefly indicated in Table 1) and some even more important than those mentioned (such as the ambulatory use of various surgical procedures, the substantial change in the role of assistance to childbirth, the modeling of new assistance groups, even birth outpatient care [17], etc, etc), but between all of them they are transforming a specialty that was born timidly and quietly at the risk of intuitive assistance at birth as a need of social populations in anywhere in the world and that today assumes a change of roles driven by paradigm shifts that, like life itself, never cease throughout not only the previous century but those to come.

Therefore, welcome are all those changes that allow us to enjoy life itself, in which everything and always is mutation, because otherwise it would not even be life.
Figure 1: Main paradigm changes assumed by gynecology in the 21st century. If it were a matter of systematizing the milestones of the supposed paradigm shift that G&O has been leading in this first quarter of the new century, apart from other more general conditions for all healthcare, we could point out a few items that we try to systematize below one by one.

Dynamic specialty in continuous and perpetual "paradigm change", hand in hand with changes in the needs of women themselves.

Modification of the "biblical" vision of natural reproduction, up to the assumption of an "alternative" fertility.

Unstoppable development of ultrasound and of the various genetic and molecular biology strategies and technologies.

Definitive evolution of gender equality started (and achieved) thanks to successive feminist demands.

Continuous and unstoppable cultural exchange until the elaboration of an open, syncretic and multicultural gynecological assistance.

Permanent perpetuation of vigilant attitudes with the suspicion and identification in medical consultation of any form of violence against women.

Constant progress in the various medical-pharmacological treatments and therapies of all kinds (including genetics).

Definitive change of orientation in the assistance nature of the G&O that transforms the paradigm of the gynecologist as the one who was always "women's family doctor", to take him to be the "female health caretaker or healthprovider throughout his lifetime".
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