Free Hand Technique Plus Fluoroscopy in Degenerative Lumbar Pathology: Analysis of the Results in 404 Patients Undergoing Arthrodesis
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Abstract
Although pedicle screws were originally placed via the classic free-hand technique, which is based on vertebral bone landmarks for screw insertion, with the advances of technology and imaging, new techniques have been introduced, such assistive techniques include fluoroscopy guidance and navigation.

Fluoroscopy and plain serial radiography are still commonly used, nevertheless it has some inconveniences. Fluoroscopy only captures images in the lateral and AP planes and has been associated with increased radiation exposure.

Computer navigated surgery provides a simultaneous multiplanar visualization of spinal anatomy and allows virtually any surgical instrument to be tracked in relation to the displayed anatomy in real time.

Navigation systems also have some drawback such as increased operating times and high cost associated with purchase and installation of an image-guided surgical suite.

We present the results obtained in the 404 surgeries performed through the technique of free hand + the use of fluoroscopy, using only 2 projections throughout the surgery and only the lateral view. Since 2015-18 in degenerative lumbar pathology in which an arthrodesis was performed.
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Introduction
The number of patients with degenerative diseases of the spine has increased significantly in recent years, due to changes in the lifestyle and behavior patterns, as well as increasing in life expectancy [1]. It is estimated that between 70-90% of the general population suffers from back pain and that approximately 4% will require surgical treatment [1]. Estimates that 44 million people in the United States suffer degenerative diseases of the spine, osteoporosis being the main cause; you are expected to be figures increase 30% over the next 20 years, as well as the number of patients with vertebral pathology that will require surgical treatment [2]. In the same way, the amount of economic resources for prevention, diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation of patients with these pathologies it has increased in recent years [3].

One of the options available for surgical treatment of vertebral pathology is the instrumentation of the transpedicular column. Currently, surgery of transpedicular vertebral fusion represents the fixation technique most commonly used for treatment Surgical of vertebral diseases of a nature degenerative, vascular, infectious, metastatic, congenital and traumatic [4,5].

The procedure involves the introduction of screws transpedicular through a point located at the junction of the transverse
and a more comfortable postoperative period for the patient [8].

The morphometric characteristics of the vertebrae and in special pedicle, determine the size of the implants pedicle in both width and length, as well as the ideal shape, direction and angulation of the screw at the moment of its introduction. It is important for the surgeon, knowledge of these characteristics to avoid injuries of the pedicle cortex, meninges, nerve roots, facets articular, viscera or adjacent vascular structures due to incorrect placement or orientation of the screws.

Material & methods

404 surgically operated patients have been studied of Lumbar column of multiple etiologies between 2015 and 2018 in two hospitals. All surgical interventions were performed by the same surgeon with great surgical experience in spinal surgery.

Screw insertion was done all cases with “hands-free” technique. All patients were underwent an intraoperative radiological examination at the end of the surgery to confirm the correct position of the screws, in each surgery only 2 controls were performed, in lateral projection, so radiation was minimized on the patient and the surgical team. The degenerative surgeries performed were in the lumbar region with the diagnoses of ca- nal stenosis, spondylolisthesis, scoliosis,

The total number of screws used was 1914, 1 level of arthrodesis in 281 patients, 2 levels in 101 patients, 3 levels in 18 patients and 4 levels in 4 patients. Of the total number of screws used, only 2 screws had to be removed in two patients, due to the involvement of both of them in the root L5 (0.10% of the screws used) and 0.49% of the operated patients.

Surgical time

The median surgical time was 80 minutes with a minimum of 60 minutes and a maximum of 180 minutes.

“Patients presented neurological focality with involvement of the L5 root the day after the intervention (Figure 1. Grades Gertzbein classification ), within the first 4 hours the surgery and screw removal were performed. The patient improved pain but in both cases he continued with dorsi flexion paresis although this did not affect them to walk normally. After the rehabilitation period there has been improvement of the symp- tomatology but not his complete recovery.

Discussion

Since its introduction by Roy-Camille [6] and Louis [7] in the seventies, the use of screws pedicle has increased remarkably to the present day. The causes of its success against non-instru- mented arthrodesis it based on better consolidation rates of vertebral fusions in lumbosacral spine ), especially in patients with and a more comfortable postoperative period for the patient [8].

There are three types of techniques currently used by sur- geons for the placement of transpedicular screws. These tech- niques can be classified as techniques based on anatomical refer- ences and image-assisted techniques, either by fluoroscopy or by computer.

The classic techniques were based on the recognition of nor- mal and abnormal spinal anatomy, preoperative radiological im- aging and intraoperative anatomical references. Later, technical advances regarding the image expanded the field of intraope- rative assistance to the knee, hip and spine. Thus, conventional fluoroscopy guidance techniques included the x-ray arch for the insertion of transpedicular screws and for intraoperative evalu- ation of their position.

Computer-assisted techniques, also called navigation-assist- ed or stereotactic calls, began to be used in the field of spinal surgery in 1995, seeking to increase the precision in the place- ment of instrumentation material. Subsequently, new ones have been developed navigation assistance techniques, based on CT or fluoroscopy, that assess the placement of the screw in the pedicle in real time. These techniques are limited by the cost of surgical time and in the case of fluoroscopy, by consid- erable radiation exposure. Navigation-guided techniques offer the possibility of significantly improving placement accuracy, without requiring sequential use of fluoroscopy during the intro- duction of instruments and screw.

Regarding complications, neurological they are the most serious in complications usual and its incidence varies among authors and especially decreases with the experience of sur- geon. These types of complications occur due to invasion of the channel when introducing an excessively screw medial, inferior or lateral and are associated occasionally to hard ruptures [9], in a study conducted with the members of the American Back Society, collects the complications of 617 surgeries with pedicle instrumentation in 3,949 screws, appreciating 1.9% of ruptures-durales, 2.4% transient root injury and 2.3% permanent root injury [10]. Yahiro [11] analyzes 101 articles reviewing results from 5,756 patients treated with pedicular instrumentation for different types of pathology appreciating 1.1% of ruptures hard and 1.7% neurological lesions.

Lumbar roots only occupy the upper third and previous of the foramen, so the site more dangerous of pedicle rupture is the inferior cortex and medial. Medial localization may cause dural rupture and nerve root injury that comes out immediately below the pedicle instrumented. The bottom location can catch the root in its lowering at the bottom of the pedicle, in the fora- men. Lateral location can injure the root of the level superior to the pedicle instrumented, such as a herniated disc extraforami- nal. The cephalic screw location in the vertebral body can cause the disc space of a non-merged segment and has the po- tential risk of accelerating the disc degeneration in front of the vertebral body, in the lower back, we find vital structures like the aorta and vena cava, and in the sacral area the autonomous plexuses responsible for the correct sexual function, internal iliac veins and L5 roots in front of the lateral area of the body vertebral at S1, so a screw that protrude excessively in front of the body vertebral could damage structures previously.

Regarding the average time of scopia, few studies value it. Slomczykowski [12] uses 63 seconds of shot-by-screw. Stein- man [13], in a study carried out with specimen vertebrae in the laboratory, the time of scooters invitosre of 1.6 minutes per in- tervention (ten screws) or 9.6 seconds per screw, but it is neces-
ecessary to have Keep in mind that it is not a surgical intervention but a laboratory study.

In our series the average time per screw has been 40 seconds. When we have used the shotgun with memory, the time taken by screw has been less than half (24 seconds) than when we have used the shotgun without memory (58 seconds).

The annual exposure to 5 REM (5,000 milliREM) is currently allowed. An intervention of this type offers an exposure between 10 and 40 mREM. Radiation exposure may be reduced if the light emitter is under the table or if a leaded apron with thyroid protection and protective goggles is used, which decreases 90% of exposure or the use of radiation gloves that reduces exposure by 40%. [13].

Gertzbein classification [14].

The first and most used scale is known in general and in the literature as Gertzbein Scale (Figure 1). In it, cortical perforation is described by the extra cortical extension of the screw violation. Grade 0 screws are those fully housed within the boundaries of the pedicle without evidence of cortical perforation, while the greater degrees are assigned at the distance of perforations multiples of 2 mm, while the distance is measured from the medial edge of the pedicle. This scale was initially used to assess screws placed from T8 to S1. During its initial application, the scale tried to assess only the invasion of the spinal canal, so that the lateral screws were excluded from the classification. More recent studies have expanded the original Gertzbein scale by applying it in each of the possible cortical perforation directions [14]. A subsequent study uses this scale for each of the six possible cortical perforation directions: anterior, lateral, medial, inferomedial, inferolateral and superior [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Drilling distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Screw completely housed inside the pedicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Screw invades but does not pierce the cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Perforation &lt;2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Perforation 2-4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drilling&gt; 4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Free hand technique

Several studies investigate the accuracy of techniques based on anatomical references, or "free-hand technique", for the placement of transpedicular screws. We have selected the most significant studies in which the placement of the screws was carried out using exclusively the technique based on anatomical references. In them, the accuracy rates range between 71.9% and 98.3% [14].

Free hand technique + fluoroscopy

The placement of screws with fluoroscopic control has a much smaller learning curve when compared to the classical technique. Theoretically, the perforation rates of the pedicle cortex should be lower, since image control provides the surgeon with the opportunity to correct errors. However, this added security mechanism comes at a price [15].

The use of intraoperative fluoroscopic control is associated with an increase in surgical times and radiation exposure. The increase in surgical time is mainly due to the introduction and removal of the fluoroscopy arch, previously prepared with a sterile sleeve. Each use of a C-arch requires the movement of the surgical work equipment, with the consequent increase in the procedure time. Apart from the fact that an increase in surgical time is related to a decrease in efficiency, the increase in surgical times has also been associated with an increase in the incidence of surgical wound infection [16].

The radiation risk associated with fluoroscopy during pedicle screw placement has been extensively studied in the literature. This risk exists for both the patient and the surgeon, being able to say that it is the surgeon who has a greater chance of developing long-term adverse effects. Some studies use anthropometric models to estimate radiation exposure in patients treated by placing transpedicular screws with intraoperative fluoroscopy guidance [17].

Particularly interesting is the registry of postoperative complications they perform, describing 2% of patients who presented with radicular pain and neurological deficit due to malpositioned lumbar screws [18]. In our study, the number of patients was 2 with neurological defect (0.49%).

Figure 1: Gertzbein classification images.
**Conclusion**

- Free hand technique + fluoroscopy, small learning curve, need for an exaggerated knowledge of the anatomy of the spine. Need for a spatial vision.
- Surgical times, radioactive dose and complications well below average.
- Performing all types of lumbar degenerative pathology, leaving the use of navigation or eyes or the like for pathologies with Gr III spondylolisthesis or vertebral ptosis.
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