Comparison of fastrach LMA and ILMA methods for airway management
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to compare the hemodynamic responses, durations of intubation, intubation success rates and postoperative upper airway complications between the intubation performed with direct laryngoscopy and blind intubation performed with LMA-Fastrach application in nor-motensive patients. This present study was performed with the approval of ethical committee and in the surgery rooms between the date March 2010-August 2010. The study was performed on 80 patients aged between 18 and 60 and had American Anesthetists Association (ASA) classification I-II. Endotracheal intubation was essential in their elective abdomen surgeries. The patients were divided into 2 groups as ILMA-Fastrach Group (Group I, n=40) and laryngoscopy group (Group L, n=40). 80 patients aged between 18 and 60. Of those, 54 (67.5%) were female and 26 (32.5%) were male. The age average of the patients was 46.3 ± 10.7. There was not a statistically significant difference between the demographic parameters of the patients. When compared to the onset value of SAP in Group I and Group L, a statistically significant difference was not detected in the groups in terms of SAP 1st minute and 5th minute values. When compared to the SAP onset value of the cases, the decrease in the 1st minute was statistically significant and when compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant. In the groups, a statistically significant difference was not observed in terms of DAP outset 1st and 5th minute values. When compared to the DAP onset value of the patients in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was statistically significant. When compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was statistically significant. When compared to the onset value of MAP in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was statistically significant. In terms of HR onset 1st and 5th minute values a statistically significant value was not detected. In conclusion, patients performed endotracheal intubation with LMA-Fastrach was more stable than the ones intubated with direct laryngoscopy in terms of hemodynamics. Fewer complications were observed in LMA-Fastrach group and there was not any difference in terms of success rates.
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Introduction

Endotracheal intubation performed during general anesthesia provides many advantages such as maintenance of airway patency and safety, respiratory control, less effort for respiration, less dead space and decreased aspiration risks [1,2]. However, laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation develop a sympathetic reflex response based on the mechanical stimulation of the larynx and trachea; this sympathetic response may cause increase in plasma catecholamine levels, tachycardia, hypertension, arrhythmia and myocardial ischemia especially in patients with limited heart reserve [3]. The generated temporary hyperdynamic response may cause severe complications in patients with aort aneurysm, recent myocard infarctus history, cerebral aneurysm and intracranial hypertension [4]. Nevertheless, the application of laryngeal mask does not stimulate laryngeal reflexes as much as laryngoscopy does, and its cardiovascular response is more restricted when compared with laryngoscopy [5]. Although many laryngeal masks (LMA) are being used for airway safety, Fastrach LMA or intubation LMA (ILMA) has been specially designed for easing endotracheal intubation and maintains the ventilation [6]. The primary advantage of ILMA designed by Dr. A.I.J. in 1997 is that it does not necessitate head and neck manipulation during the application and the practitioner does not need to place their finger into the patient’s mouth [7,8].

The aim of this study is to compare the hemodynamic responses, durations of intubation, intubation success rates and postoperative upper airway complications between the intubation performed with direct laryngoscopy and blind intubation performed with LMA-Fastrach application in normotensive patients.

Material and method

This present study was performed with the approval of ethical committee in the surgery rooms of Bezm-i Alem Vakif Gu-reba Training and Research Hospital between the date’s March 2010-August 2010. The study was performed on 80 patients aged between 18 and 60 and had American Anesthetists Association (ASA) classification I-II. Endotracheal intubation was essential in their elective abdomen surgeries. The patients were divided into 2 groups as ILMA-Fastrach Group (Group I, n=40) and laryngoscopy group (Group L, n=40). Informed consents of all the patients included in the study were obtained before the operation.

The study excluded the patients in ASA III classification and the risk group over this, the ones whose planned operation time was over 2 hours, the ones who had allergy history and respiratory and central nervous system diseases, gastrointestinal reflex, hypertension, head and neck surgery history, the ones who were experienced to have difficulty in establishing cooperation. Besides, the patients were evaluated in terms of difficult intubation and the patients with difficult intubation history, Mallampati score 3 and 4, the ones whose mouth opening was below 2 cm and morbid obese patients were not included in the study. Physical examination of the patients was done one day before the operation. Thioracal distance and sternomental distance was measured. Length, weight and Mallampati scores of all patients were recorded. Before the operation in the waiting room the patients were provided vascular access on their antecubular region or dorsum of the hand with 20 G intravenous cannula and they were started to be intravenously (i.v) given crystalloid fluid at 2ml/kg/hour pace. All patients were premedicated with 0.02 mg/kg i.v midazolam with the aim of premedication. In the operating room patients were lying supine position and Electrocardiography (ECG), heart rate (HR), systolic arterial blood pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial blood pressure (DAP), mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2) were monitored.

Before the induction, the patients were pre-oxygenized with 100% oxygen for three minutes. In the induction, while the patients were given 5-7 mg/kg thiopental sodium, 1 µg/r/kg fentanyl and 0.5 mg/kg and rocuronium i.v, ventilation was provided with a mask for 3 minutes. In the Fastrach group ILMA size for patients in 70-100-kilogram (kg) range was identified as 5 size, endotracheal tube was identified as 8.0 Internal Diameter (ID). For the patients in the range of 50-70 kg, ILMA size 4 and endotracheal tube 7.5 ID were identified. Before ILMA was placed to the oropharynx, water based lubricate gel was spreaded on the surface. It was placed to the oropharynx with rotation movement when the patient’s head was in the neutral position. Size 5 ILMA cuff was inflated with 40 milliliter (ml) air. Size 4 ILMA cuff was inflated with 30 ml air. The followings were aimed with manual balloon ventilation; to measure end-tidal CO₂ value in capnography, to see the movement of chest wall, to maintain no air leak and to provide the measured airway pressure to be lower than 25 cm H₂O. In the contrary case, rotation in the sagittal plane and little manipulations right to left and forward to backward were done. Despite manipulations, if ILMA still was not in the right position, the process was accepted to be unsuccessful. ILMA was removed from the mouth and with a second try it was replaced to the oropharynx. After the process was confirmed to be successful, endotracheal tube was pulled through ILMA and the tube cuff without an air leak was inflated with the volume of air that the producing company suggested. Endotracheal tube position was confirmed with capnography and the appearance of the movement of the bilateral chest wall. After the confirmation of the tube placement, the air in the ILMA cuff was aspirated and the ILMA was removed from the mouth. The time of the process was recorded as the total time from unpacking ILMA to removing it from the mouth.

In the direct laryngoscopy group, the size of the endotracheal tube was identified as 8.0 ID for the patients in the range of 70-100 kilogram (kg). For the patients in the range of 50-70 kg, the size was determined as 7.5ID. Before the laryngoscopy, the head was softly taken to extension position. The mouth was opened, and endotracheal tube was intubated by using Macintosh laryngoscopy. With no air leak, endotracheal tube cuff was inflated with volume of air the producing company’s suggested. The placement of the endotracheal tube was confirmed with capnography and the appearance of bilateral chest wall movement. The duration of the process was recorded as the time from unpacking the tube to removing it from the mouth. In each group, the followings were recorded: numbers and times of trials, whether or not any bleeding occurred in the tube or mucosa, whether or not the patients were saturated. All the applications of ILMA and laryngoscopy were performed with the same person. In the mainance of the anesthesia in every group 50% O₂, 50% N₂O and 2-3% sefvolurane was used. MAP, DAP, HR and SpO₂ values of the patients were measured in the 1st and 5th minutes before the anesthesia induction and following the induction. All the patients were administered i.v tramadol 1mg/kg five minutes before the anesthesia was terminated. Anesthetic gases were turned down five minutes before the surgery was ended and the patients were given 100% oxygen. Decurari- sation was provided with neostigmine 0.02 mg/kg and atropine.
The patients were assessed after extubation for whether or not any mucosal bleeding and tooth damage occurred. Then, they were taken to the recovery room. In the 2nd hour of the postoperation, sore throat and hoarseness were questioned. For the check of pharyngolaryngeal morbidity, sore throat and hoarseness were evaluated with 4-point scale.

Sore throat;
Level 1: Absence Level. 2: Less than common cold. Level 3: Similar to a common cold. Level 4: Very severe.

Hoarseness;
Level 1: Absence. Level 2: Only the patient feels. Level 3: Both the patient and the listener feel. Level 4: Severe aphonia.

Statistical analysis
Findings obtained in the study were evaluated for statistical analysis with the use of the program SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 17.0. In addition to the descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation, frequency, percent), Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was also used for the examination of the normal distribution. In comparison of the quantitative parameters and within group comparisons of the normally distributed parameters, Independent Samples t test was used. In within-group comparisons of normally distributed parameters, Repeated Measures Anova was used. In within-group comparisons of the parameters, Bonferroni Post Hoc test was used. In comparisons of the quantitative parameters, Q-square test was used. The results were evaluated in the range of 95% realiability and the significany was evaluated as p < 0.05.

Results
This present study was performed on totally 80 patients aged between 18 and 60. Of those, 54 (67.5%) were female and 26 (32.5%) were male. The age average of the patients was 46.3 ± 10.7. The length average of them was 163.5±6.6 cm (154 -178 cm); the weight average was 77.7 ± 13.4 kg (50-116 kg). According to the groups, there was not a statistically significant difference between the demographic parameters of the patients (p > 0.05), (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group L (n/%)</th>
<th>Group I (n/%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>45.1 ±11.6</td>
<td>47.6±9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (kg)</td>
<td>76.6±15.8</td>
<td>78.8±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>164.4±6.6</td>
<td>162.7±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F/M)</td>
<td>24/16</td>
<td>30/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II)</td>
<td>25/15</td>
<td>24/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, weight and length parameters were stated as ± standart deviation, gender and ASA classification were stated as %. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, F: female, M: male, Group L: Laryngoscopy group, Group I: LMA fast-trach group.

One patient in the Group L and two patients in the Group I became successful in the second trial and it was not statistically significant (p > 0.05), (Table 2). Trial times (49.2 min.) of the patients in Group I was found to significantly higher than the trial times (18.8 min.) in Group L (p < 0.01), (Table 3). When the groups were compared in terms of hoarseness, hoarseness level 1 was observed in 8 patients in Group L and three patients in Group I. In Group I, one patient was observed to have hoarseness at level 2 and this was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

When the groups were compared in terms of sore throat, in Group L in 8 patients level 1 sore throat, in four patients level 2 sore throat was observed. In Group I, in two patients level 1, in three patients level 2 and in 1 patient level 4 sore throat was observed. However, it was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

When compared to the onset value of SAP in Group I and Group L, a statistically significant difference was not detected in the groups in terms of SAP 1st minute and 5th minute values (p>0.05).

When compared to onset value of SAP of the patients in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was not statistically significant (p < 0.05) and when compared with the increase in the 1st minute, the decrease in the 5th minute was statistically more significant (p < 0.05). When compared to the SAP onset value of the cases, the decrease in the 1st minute was statistically significant (p < 0.05) and when compared with the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

In the groups, a statistically significant difference was not observed in terms of DAP outset 1st and 5th minute values (p > 0.05).

When compared to the DAP outset value of the patients in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was statistically significant. When compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was statistically significant (p<0.05). Compared to the DAP onset value of the patients in Group I, the decrease in the 1st minute was not statistically significant. Compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). Mean artery pressure (MAP) onset value in Group I and Group L did not show a statistically significant difference in terms of 1st minute and 5th minute values (p > 0.05).

### Table 1: Distribution of the demographic features according to the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group L (n)</th>
<th>Group I (n)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>45.1 ±11.6</td>
<td>47.6±9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (kg)</td>
<td>76.6±15.8</td>
<td>78.8±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>164.4±6.6</td>
<td>162.7±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>24/16</td>
<td>30/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II)</td>
<td>25/15</td>
<td>24/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, weight and length parameters were stated as ± standart deviation, gender and ASA classification were stated as %. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, F: female, M: male, Group L: Laryngoscopy group, Group I: LMA fast-trach group.

### Table 2: Distribution of intubation trials according to the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group L</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.Trial</td>
<td>39/97.5</td>
<td>38/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.Trial</td>
<td>1/2.5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of intubation trials was stated as %. Group L: Laryngoscopy group, Group I: LMA fast-trach group.

### Table 3: Distribution of intubation trial times based on the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group L</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trial time (min) mean ± sd</td>
<td>18.8±7.1</td>
<td>49.2±27.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05: Between the groups. Trial times were stated as ± standard deviation. Group L: Laryngoscopy group, Group I: LMA fast-trach group.
When compared to the onset value of MAP in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was statistically significant. When compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was statistically significant (p<0.05).

When compared to the MAP onset value of the patients in Group I, the decrease in the 1st minute was statistically significant (p<0.05) but compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

In terms of HR onset 1st and 5th minute values a statistically significant value was not detected (p>0.05).

When compared to the HR onset value in Group L, the increase in the 1st minute was not statistically significant. Compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant (p>0.05). When compared to the HR onset value of the patients in Group I, the decrease in the 1st minute was not statistically meaningful. Compared to the 1st minute value, the decrease in the 5th minute was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Discussion

This present study, comparing LMA-Fastrach and direct laryngoscopy with endotracheal intubation, demonstrated that a rise in cardiovascular response did not occur in the patients applied Fastrach LMA; MAP and DAP values were observed to be increased in the patients applied direct laryngoscopy. Undesired complications such as a rise in Heart Rate (HR), hoarseness and sore throat were more frequently experienced in the patients applied direct laryngoscopy. In every group, first trial of intubation rate success was high. Direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation are known as the golden standard in providing safety and maintenance of airway patency [9]. During direct laryngoscopy, plasma catecholamine concentration increases because of the pressure applied to the supraglottic tissues. Correspondingly, an increase in the hemodynamic response is observed. Especially in hypertensive patients this response is more severe, continues in a longer time and may be resulted in myocardial ischemia, arrhythmia and cerebrovascular damage [5, 10, 11]. For that reason, an endotracheal intubation technique to be resulted with minimal hemodynamic fluctuation is essential [12]. Additionally, it is necessary that the success rate of the intubation should be high, intubation should be performed in an acceptable time and complication rate should be low. ILMA suggests a new approach for endotracheal intubation [13]. Shetty et al. [14] in their study performed clinical evaluation of endotracheal intubation. They successfully performed intubation in 96% of the patients. They also found successful intubation rate as 56%. Zhang et al. [15] in their study planned to perform LMA-Fastrach on 28 patients. They had success on 24 patients in the first trial of the intubation, 3 patients in the second trial and 1 patient in the third trial.

In another study performed, it was detected that the success rate of the blind intubation with ILMA was 83.3%, 13.3%, 3.3% respectively in the first, second and third trials [16]. Basket et al. [17] in their study performed on 500 patients used LMA-Fastrach for intubation and were able to be successful in 79.8% of the patients in the first trial, in 12.2% of them in the second trial and in 4% of them in the third trial. They were not able to intubate 19 patients. In 17 of the 19 patients not having been intubated, the reason of the failure can be explained with not being used to the technique for the first 20 patients. In our study, successful intubation was performed at the rate of 95%

in the first trial. In the second trial, 2 patients’ intubation was performed successfully. When compared with the literature data, the success in the first trial of intubation was higher in our study. Timmermann et al. [18] performed a study with 30 intern doctors who did not have any experience in airway techniques. In the study, they compared ambu mask with ventilation, laryngoscopic tracheal intubation and LMA-Fastrach with intubation. The success was detected as 92.2 % with LMA-Fastrach intubation, and 60% with laryngoscopy with endotracheal intubation. Success rate in LMA-Fastrach with blind intubation in the first trial was 83% whereas it was 42% in the laryngoscopic tracheal intubation. In this study, medicine students were more successful in the application of LMA-Fastrach. In the training programs held for medical personnel inexperienced in airway and paramedics, it was stated that LMA-Fastrach could be preferred to traditional airway management techniques [18].

In another study where intubation with LMA fastrach were evaluated, it was found that the time for placement of the endotracheal tube with LMA-Fastrach was approximately 35.85 seconds [19]. Zhang et al. in their study demonstrated that intubation time performed with LMA-Fastrach was three times more when compared with intubation with laryngoscopy. In our study, intubation time in Group I was 2.5 times longer than Group L and this time was determined as 49.2 seconds. In the study, although the practitioner was experienced in intubation with laryngoscopy, they had their first experience in this study after they learned the right technique for ILMA application. The length of time can be based on the inexperience of the practitioner and abundance of control and application steps of ILMA compared with direct laryngoscopy.

Intubation with LMA-Fastrach consists of 3 steps; placement of LMA-Fastrach and confirmation of the place, placement of the endotracheal tube and confirmation of its place, removal of LMA-Fastrach from its place. For that reason, longer time of intubation with LMA-Fastrach compared with laryngoscopy is an expected result.

The literature shows quite different results associated with hemodynamic responses to intubation under the guidance of ILMA. Some studies showed that endotracheal intubation under the guidance of ILMA and direct laryngoscopy also caused similar hemodynamic results. It was observed that ILMA did not provide a statistical advantage in hemodynamic parameters in terms of SAP, DAP, MAP, HP; in every group there was an increase in these parameters after the intubation and there was not any difference between the groups [15, 16, 20-22]. Another study showed that there was a statistically significant but clinically insignificant increase based on the basal values of HR and MAP after the intubation with ILMA [23]. Additionally, it was detected that these results were in compliance with some other studies performed [14, 24, 25].

Hemodynamic response depends on the the size of the airway, duration of appliance and the duration of apnea. For that reason, minimum stimulation of the orofarnegeal structures necessitates a meticulous technique and permanent ventilation [26]. Yadav et al. [26] in their study stated that intubation under the guidance of ILMA was a blind technique and this necessitated great manipulations. In their study, they compared endotracheal intubation with C-Trach LMA providing direct visibility and direct laryngoscopy. It was stated that C-Trach LMA provided direct visibility; laryngeal entrance was able to be visualized and it caused fewer manipulations. However, SAP, DAP, MAP and HR values were observed to be increased right after
the intubation, HR and SAP was observed to be significantly increased in the direct laryngoscopy group [26]. This present study detected that intubation with ILMA had positive results hemodynamically when compared to the direct laryngoscopy. Success rate in the first trial in the ILMA group was quite high. When in the first trial success was not still obtained even after small manipulations, second trial was performed. In ILMA application great manipulations were not performed. In that reason, we think that hemodynamic parameters were not affected. Mean trial duration was higher compared with direct laryngoscopy, though. When adequate pre-oxygenization was not provided, we observed that this did not negatively affect hemodynamics, which showed an acceptable apnea time. Additionally, after laryngeal mask was placed before endotracheal intubation, provision of ventilation for to confirm placement of ILMA shortened apnea time.

Baskett et al. [17], in their study performed on 500 patients, observed that HR and blood pressure increased following the placement of LMA-Fastrach, a significant increase occurred in heart rate and blood pressure after the blind intubation [17]. In our study, even though HR was not statistically significant after endotracheal intubation, it showed decrease in LMA-Fastrach group whereas it increased in laryngoscopy group. Blood pressure values following the intubation showed a decrease compared to the values prior to the induction in LMA group. This is because LMA causes fewer stimulations on oropharyngeal area compared to the laryngoscopy.

Kihara et al. [27] in their study compared direct laryngoscopy “light wand” and LMA-Fastrach with hemodynamic response to the intubation in normotensive and hypertensive patients [27]. In normotensive patients, difference between the groups in terms of hemodynamic responses were not observed. In hypertensive patients, SAP and DAP was observed to be significantly increased in laryngoscopy group [27]. Another study demonstrated that in ILMA group after the intubation 1 minute DAP value was significantly lower in normotensive patients when compared to the other groups [12]. In our study, differing from the study of Kihara et al. [27] in the laryngoscopy group following the intubation in the 1 minute a significant increase occurred in DAP. In LMA –Fastrach group following the intubation, the decrease in SAP and MAP in the 1 minute was significant. Increased hemodynamic response observed in hypertensive patients during the direct laryngoscopy may be thought to be associated with sensitivity increased to catecholamines. Joo et al. [28] in their study compared blind intubation with LMA-Fastrach, fiberoptic assisted intubation with LMA-Fastrach and direct laryngoscopy with endotracheal intubation. They obtained equal results for sore throat and hoarseness in three groups. Kihara et al. [29], in their upper airway studies, determined that the high pressure effect of LMA-Fastrach’s metallic structure on mucosa caused an increase of pharyngolaryngeal morbidity in postoperative period [29]. However, differing from our study, in this study Fastrach was kept in its placement during the operation. In our study, Fastrach- LMA was used as an intubation tool and was not kept in its place along the preoperative period. In our study, an increase in pharyngolaryngeal morbidity was not observed in patients intubated with Fastrach-LMA in the postoperative period when compared to the ones intubated with laryngoscopy. Fastrach was developed for to provide endotracheal intubation under the guidance of laryngeal mask. Fastrach-LMA should be removed keeping the endotracheal tube in its place. Otherwise, as seen in the studies, mucosal damage and postoperative pharyngolarngeal morbidity may increase.

Consequently, patients performed endotracheal intubation with LMA-Fastrach was more stable than the ones intubated with direct laryngoscopy in terms of hemodynamics. Fewer complications were observed in LMA-Fastrach group and there was not any difference in terms of success rates. For these reasons, laryngoscopy can be an alternative to laryngoscopy application. However, this idea needs to be supported with more studies to be done with larger groups.
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