Effect of Temporary Bite Raising with Light Cured Orthodontic Band Cement and Acrylic Bite Plane on the Electromyographic Response of Masticatory Muscles
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Abstract

Aim: To assess the concomitant effects of temporary bite raising light cured orthodontic band cement versus traditional acrylic bite plane on the masticatory muscles using electromyography in orthodontic patients.

Materials and methods: Bio electromyography signals were recorded in masseter and temporalis of 10 patients requiring orthodontic bite raise before and immediately post bite raise. The sample population was divided randomly into two groups one group with light cured orthodontic bite raise and the other group with temporary acrylic bite plane. Electromyography was recorded at rest and at clench. The electromyography at rest and clench, the voltage and duration for firing were statistically compared using independent t-test among the groups. Wilcoxon signed-rank test based for normality of variables. The significance level was set at 0.05.

Results: At rest, electromyography after bite raise showed a decrease in muscle activity in the superficial masseter with a mean difference of 6.2 μVs, whereas no change in temporalis muscle activity which showed a mean difference of 2.9 μVs. At clench, the bite plane group showed reduced masseter muscle activity with a difference of 101.4 μVs and right temporalis showed a difference of 74.7 μVs. Overall, there was an increase in muscle activity in the bite plane group than the light cure bite raise group. However there was no overall change in the muscle activity during clench among the groups.
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Introduction

Temporary bite-raising is commonly used in orthodontic treatment for the purpose of deep bite and crossbite correction [1]. Traditionally, bite raising was achieved using acrylic bite planes extending from premolar until molar. Orthodontists have used bite planes both removable and fixed for disarticulating the occlusion and deprogramming the masticatory muscles [2]. The removable acrylic plate required patient compliance but was easy for the clinician to make necessary adjustments. Whereas, the fixed bite planes caused soft tissue irritation and regular adjustment of the bite plane was also difficult. In 1994, Joe Mayers introduced simply a lingual metal bracket instead of bite planes. Bite planes were widely replaced by these metal turbos and had advantages of oral hygiene as well. Unfortunately, these were not that efficient due to the varied lingual anatomy of incisors. Many materials such as resin turbos, acrylic gels, lingual retainer adhesives, bracket adhesives, band cement have been used as turbos [3]. But commonly used in day to day clinical practice are orthodontic light cure bite raisers. Light cure orthodontic bite raises are used to prevent interbracket hindrance, occlusal interferences, allowing unobstructed protrusive and lateral movement [3,4]. Also, in crossbite situations it allows the inwardly placed teeth to be out of interference and aid in proper alignment [5]. Unlike the acrylic bite plane these have only two point contact which may have an altered jaw muscle activity.

The objective of the study was to assess the difference in effects of muscle activity of masseter and temporalis with posterior acrylic bite plane and orthodontic light cure bite risers pre and post bite block placement at rest and at maximum voluntary clench. The null hypothesis is there is no difference in muscle activity among the two different bite raises.

Materials and methods

The study included a population within the age of 18-25 years consisting of both male and female who reported to the Department of Orthodontics, Saveetha Dental College, India. The sample size of N=10 was calculated using a post hoc test in G power software. The participants were randomly divided into two groups Group 1: Bite raise with acrylic bite plane (Figure 1) Group 2: Orthodontic light cure bite risers (Figure 2). All the participants included in the study required disocclusion and prescribed bite risers for the purpose of deep bite more than 50%, or single or multiple teeth crossbite. Participants with temporomandibular disorders, temporomandibular pain or myofascial pain, not willing to participate in the study were excluded. All the participants were compliant and did not miss any appointment during the experimental period of the study. The study was approved by the Ethical board of Saveetha Dental college and all the participants signed the consent form for the study. The purpose and method of electromyography was explained to all the participants of both the groups.

Conclusion: The results of the current study show that there is an altered neuromuscular behavior during rest among the participants included in the study for bite raise with light cure bite and acrylic bite. These results help us understand the alteration in the muscular activity, objective and subjective symptoms which in turn can direct us for choosing the appropriate bite raise for various clinical scenarios.
an increased muscle activity in the participants belonging to the bite plane group. Figure 3 represents the muscle activity of temporalis at rest and Maximum Voluntary Clench (MVC). Bite plane group shows significant differences during clench in both the temporalis left and right. Figure 4 represents the muscle activity of muscle during rest and Maximum Voluntary Clench (MVC). The participants of the bite plane group had significant differences in the left masseter than the right.

**Figure 1:** Represents posterior acrylic bite given for disocclusion in cross bite or deep bite correction. Occlusion was balanced was done using an articulating paper of 8 micron thick.

**Figure 2:** Represents light cure composite for disocclusion in cross bite or deep bite correction. Occlusion was balanced on either side using an articulating paper of 8 micron thick.

**Figure 3:** Graph represents electromyography activity during rest and maximum voluntary clench of temporalis right (blue) and temporalis left (red). There is a significant difference in the temporalis right (p>0.5) and left (p<0.5) in both the groups at rest. There is a significant difference in temporalis right (p>0.5) and left (p<0.5) at clench.

**Figure 4:** Graph represents electromyography activity during rest and maximum voluntary clench of masseter right (blue) and masseter left (red). There is a significant difference in both the masseter right (p<0.1) and masseter left (p<0.2) at rest. There is no significant difference in both the masseter right (p>0.7) and masseter left (p>0.6) at clench.

**Table 1:** Integral Electromyography (EMG) activity (μVs) during rest after temporary bite-raising.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Masticatory muscle</th>
<th>Bite Raise SD+/Mean</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis Right</td>
<td>Bite plane 1.21+/0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 1.1+/0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis Left</td>
<td>Bite plane 1.7+/1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 1.3+/0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter Right</td>
<td>Bite plane 2.3+/2.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 1.7+/0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter Left</td>
<td>Bite plane 2.1+/0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 1.9+/0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confidence interval: 95%

*Significant difference (p<0.05, unpaired t-test).

**Table 2:** Integral Electromyography (EMG) activity (μVs) during maximum voluntary clench after temporary bite-raising.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Masticatory muscle</th>
<th>Bite Raise SD+/Mean</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis right</td>
<td>Bite plane 72+/3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 87+/6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis left</td>
<td>Bite plane 74.7+/31.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 89.7+/60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter right</td>
<td>Bite plane 101.4+/76.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 119+/66.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter left</td>
<td>Bite plane 133.1+/49.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue bite 110+/61.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confidence interval: 95%; MVC: maximum voluntary clench

*Significant difference (p<0.05, unpaired t-test).
Discussion

The muscular activity of anterior temporalis and masseter was not significant during clenching. This was in accordance with the study by Koc et al [8]. Their study concludes that the muscular activity decreases when the bite rise is beyond 10mm which is in accordance with our study where the bite raise was below the range. Several studies found that increasing the occlusal vertical dimension above 3 mm by any means affects the muscular activity [8,9]. The EMG activity of the bite plane group decreased significantly. In a study by, finger et al, studies have shown that the jaw-closing muscles will reduce its activity for stabilization hence protecting the other structures [10]. The results in our study were similar to those of Dahlstrom et al, found that masseter and temporals activity reduced using bite plates due to lower occlusal contacts however the light cure bite raise was lesser comparatively [10-12]. Whereas Wang et al, reported increased muscular activity and bite force during clench which was also similar in light cure bite raise group [13]. But we did not calibrate the bite force among both the groups. Further studies may help us understand the correlation between muscular activity and bite force with temporary bite raise. There is a study by Changsirpun et al, where they have performed subjective and objective evaluation by MPI and FIA score of the patients receiving light cure bite raise and concluded that the masticatory function has reduced in patients tremendously however they have not followed up extensively. In our study, the patients receiving light cure bite raise were finding it difficult to clenches whereas the group who received a bite plane felt easier to clenches because of the support throughout the posteriors. On the contrary, in the consecutive appointments the light cure raise group accommodated and no longer had difficulty clenching and were able to eat. Unfortunately, the bite plane group of patients also had no difficulty clenching but were not able to eat properly [8,14]. Ferrario et al [10,11], found that the muscle activity at maximum voluntary clench was lower in young adults with less occlusal contacts. This is in accordance with our population the muscular activity was low in the bite plane group. The activity of masseter is more than temporalis post bite raising which is in agreement with the results obtained by Koc et al [8]. Tomonari et al [15], found that lower muscular activity on the chewing side after bite raise was similar in our study that right masseter activity was lower than left. Animal studies [15,16] with bite raising for 2 weeks reduced the masseter muscle spindle sensitivity however there was no difference found after 6 weeks.

Conclusion

• Our results reveal that there is a change in muscle activity at rest after raising the bite in both the masseter with orthodontic light cure bite raise and acrylic bite raise.

• There is no significant difference in the muscular activity in both the groups at clench.

• However, patients feel more comfortable during the clench with the bite plane due to balanced occlusal contact throughout the posteriors.

• However orthodontic light cure bite raise is more advantageous in terms of clinician comfort, patient comfort, chair side time, number of appointments. Moreover, selective extrusion may be difficult with bite plates due to regular trimming may be needed.

• These composite bite raises cannot be used if more than 4 mm raise is needed, hence in such situations acrylic bite planes can be used.

This information may be useful for the orthodontists to carefully choose the type of bite raise which the patient may require in turn may reduce the chair side time, visits and increase the comfort of the patient.
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