

ISSN: 2638-1370

Annals of Clinical Nutrition

Open Access | Research Article

A chicken-cholesterol versus corned beef-cholesterol absorption kinetics case report

*Corresponding Author(s): James A Cocores Founding Director, Culinary Medicine, Psychephysics Mediated Research Laboratories, 5219 D Wallis Road, West Palm Beach, Florida, USA. Tel: 561-319-6443 & 561-419-5083, Email: Drjac11@bellsouth.net

Received: Nov 06, 2018

Accepted: May 17, 2019

Published Online: May 23, 2019

Journal: Annals of Clinical Nutrition

Publisher: MedDocs Publishers LLC

Online edition: http://meddocsonline.org/

Copyright: © Cocores JA (2019). This Article is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Introduction

As of August 12, 2018, health organizations [1-3] and clinical entities [4-5] have recommended eating more chicken to reduce risk prevalence and postpone or prevent the genesis of Ischemic Heart Disease (IHD) and stroke, reasoning that chicken contains lower amounts of cholesterol than corned beef.

A review of the literature revealed no scientific based absorption, kinetics or bioavailability study that supported the notion that chicken-cholesterol translates into Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) plasma levels at a lower rate than corned beefcholesterol.

The following case report was designed to prompt more extensive investigations regarding the absorption and elimination dynamics of chicken-cholesterol versus corned beef-cholesterol.

Method

Mr. M. signed a consent form to participate in this study after he had carefully read the protocol for the total cholesterol, triglycerides, very low-density lipoprotein and LDL detoxification, chicken-cholesterol challenge, and corned beef-cholesterol challenge [6]. In addition, he understood that once his LDL plasma level was reduced to approximately normal, he would begin the corned beef-cholesterol challenge by first having a fasting lipid panel drawn. Then, Mr. M. would consume 165 mg of Amadori product [7] containing or boiled, corned beef-cholesterol within an alkaline green fiber diet consisting of green shakes made of blended gandules, lima beans, kale and first-pressed olive oil, and cucumber, zucchini, celery and avocado salads. He then would return to the lab the following morning in order to have a lipid panel drawn. Also, the aforementioned procedure would be repeated consuming 210 mg of slightly melanoidin



Cite this article: Cocores JA. A chicken-cholesterol versus corned beef-cholesterol absorption kinetics case report. Ann Clin Nutr. 2019; 2(1): 1015.

Implementing the procedure set out above, Mr. M's LDL in-

creased by 10 mg/dL/day after consuming 165 mg of corned

beef-cholesterol on 06/08/2018 (Table 1). Hence, his LI for

corned beef was 16.5 (165 mg corned beef-cholesterol/10 mg/

Chicken-cholesterol was 49% more bioavailable than corned

beef-cholesterol in the subject studied. Is Mr. M. a genetic out-

lier or do these results translate to a larger subgroup or the

populace? These somewhat counterintuitive and paradoxi-

cal results warrant further investigation in order to potentially

provide better dietary advice to individuals at risk for IHD and

dL = delta LDL).

stroke.

Conclusions

coated [7] or broiled, skinless boneless chicken pectoral muscle-cholesterol. Moreover, the corresponding exogenous cholesterol value would be divided by the corresponding change in LDL level, which would yield a lipoprotein index (LI) for corned beef and chicken. The lower the LI, the higher the translation rate from exogenous cholesterol to the subject's plasma LDL in mg/dL/day.

Results

Mr. M. was a 64-year-old with a history of atrial fibrillation, IHD and episodes of disorientation and motor collapse. His LDL levels ranged from 149 to 193 mg/dL (Normal Range = 0-99 mg/ dL) from 08/29/2016 through 03/24/2017. Mr. M. stopped taking his statin, antihypertensive, and antithrombotic medication in the fall of 2017 because he deemed them ineffective and costly and that they were associated with worsening neurological, cardiac, and gastrointestinal side effects.

Tables

Table 1: Corned Beef-Cholesterol Challenge

DATE	CHOL 100-199 mg/dL	TG 0-149 mg/dL	HDL >39 mg/dL	VLDL 5-40 mg/dL	LDL 0-99 mg/dL	TSH 0.45-4.5 ulU/mL				
06/08/2018	157	91	50	18	89	2.62				
06/09/2018	166	71	53	14	99	3.76				

The subject's LDL increased by 19 mg/dL/day after consuming 210 mg of chicken-cholesterol on 07/13/2018 (Table 2). Therefore, the subject's LI for chicken was 11.1 (210 mg chicken-cholesterol/19 mg/dL = delta LDL).

Table 2: Chicken-Cholesterol Challenge

DATE	CHOL 100-199 mg/dL	TG 0-149 mg/dL	HDL >39 mg/dL	VLDL 5-40 mg/dL	LDL 0-99 mg/dL	TSH 0.45-4.5 uIU/mL
07/13/2018	171	62	56	12	103	3.31
07/14/2018	196	85	57	17	122	3.38

Mr. M. assimilated, absorbed and/or translated exogenous cholesterol into corporal LDL more readily from chicken than from corned beef.

Conclusions

Chicken-cholesterol was 49% more bioavailable than corned beef-cholesterol in the subject studied. Is Mr. M. a genetic outlier or do these results translate to a larger subgroup or the populace? These somewhat counterintuitive and paradoxical results warrant further investigation in order to potentially provide better dietary advice to individuals at risk for IHD and stroke.

References

- 1. DASH Eating Plan. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
- 2. Nutrition Tips for Stroke Survivors. The American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.
- 3. The American Heart Association's Diet and Lifestyle Recommendations. American Heart Association.
- Gidding SS, Dennison BA, Birch LL, Daniels SR, Gillman MW, et al. Dietary Recommendations for Children and Adolescents: A Guide for Practitioners. Pediatrics. 2006; 117: 544-559.

- 5. Lifestyle Changes to Help You Manage HeFH.
- 6. Cocores JA. Could the greater consumption of fish and chicken be contributing to the global ischemic heart disease and stroke pandemic?
- Zhang Q, Ames JM, Smith RD, Baynes JW, Metz TO. A Perspective on the Maillard Reaction and the Analysis of Protein Glycation by Mass Spectrometry: Probing the Pathogenesis of Chronic Disease. J Proteome Res. 2009; 8: 754-769.