Ultrasonography Changes After Laser Ablation of Breast Carcinoma
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Abstract

Introduction: Minimal invasive treatment for breast cancer has been introduced under various technologies. Chief amongst them are ablation techniques like Laser, Radiofrequency, Micro-ablation and Cryo-ablation.

The advantages of Laser Ablation are reduced procedure time and real time visualization of tumour.

Material and procedure: 12 patients were subject to laser ablation of tumour between 2008 and 2021. All patients were unwilling to undergo formal mastectomy and were in Stage 3 by Manchester classification. The patients were scanned by sonography and histopathological diagnosis was obtained by Tru-Cut biopsy. All patients were in BIRADS 4 and 5 category.

The procedure was performed under sonography control with 60W diode laser. The outer extent of ablation was taken to be 0.5-1.5 cms outside the visible margin of the tumor. The Lymph nodes were localized with help of Pet Scan/Sonography and were similarly laserised.

Results: The sonography images were obtained immediately and at 2-3month intervals. The findings were corroborated by PET scans taken at 3 monthly intervals for 1 year.

Conclusions: Laser Ablation is a viable procedure for necrosoing the Breast cancer. It has the advantage of no mutilation or scarring. It can be repeated if there is any recurrence or new occurrence. Radiological changes after Laser Ablation are very predictable and sonologists need to be aware of the findings in view of increasing acceptability of Laser Ablation in treatment of Breast carcinoma in many centers around the world.
Introduction

Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer in women in India. The breast registry shows over 100,000 cases added to the cancer patients list of breast cancer every year. The overall survival rate after standard treatment depends on the age of patient, tumour histopathology, staging of tumour, hormonal status [1].

Most common way of diagnosing Breast cancer is Sono mammography. The BIRADS criteria have been introduced to classify and pinpoint benign and Malignant Breast cancer. Sono mammography uses high frequency probe of 7.5MHZ and this has been refined further up to 13MHZ [2].

Hypoechogenic. This term means “not many echoes.” These areas appear dark gray because they don’t send back a lot of sound waves. Solid masses of dense tissue are hypoechoic (Figure 2,3).

Hyperechogenic. This term means “lots of echoes.” These areas bounce back many sound waves. They appear as bright gray on the ultrasound. Hyperechoic masses are not as dense as hypoechoic ones are. They may contain air, fat, or fluid (Figure 4).

Anechoic. This term means “without echoes.” These areas appear black on ultrasound because they do not send back any sound waves. Anechoic masses are often fluid-filled and cast hyperechoic torch like area posterior to lesion (Figure 5).

The findings of different acoustics with Breast cancer is due to presence of high density areas in Breast cancers. The sound waves get absorbed in highly dense area and gives a hypoechogenic appearance on USG (Figure 2,3) [3,4,5,6].

The additional requirement is visualizing enlarged lymph nodes in axillary region (Figure 15). These present as enlarged oval to round structures with or without central hyperechogenic area. Absence of hilum is highly suspicious of Malignant deposits in the lymph node [7].

Normal Radiological appearance of breast

In Normal radiological appearance the normal breast tissue is hyperechogenic and layered fibro glandular tissue with hypoechogenic adipose tissue [3].

Benign lesions of Breast

Figure 2: Irregular border, hypoechoic mass s/o malignant tissue with desmoplastic reaction.

Figure 3: Classical BIRAD 5 ductal carcinoma (biopsy proven). Note: Irregular border, inhomogeneous echotexture, height more than breadth, attenuating mass.

Figure 4: Well marginated hypoechoic mass with minimal attenuation suggestive of Fibroadenoma

Figure 5: Well marginated hypoechoic mass with minimal attenuation suggestive of Fibroadenoma
Changes during laserisation process

**Figure 6:** Hyperechogenic area with hypoechoic irregular border. The area of hyperechogenicity extends more than the dimensions of the original mass.

**Figure 7:** Inflammatory hyperechogenicity with no sign of mixed echogenicity.

**Figure 8:** Cystic changes and inflammatory changes in the area of laserised mass. The anechoic area suggests cystic changes.

**Figure 9:** Hyperechoic area with well marginated Hypoechoic area (white arrows).

**Figure 10:** Well defined mass with central hyperechoic area suggest post-laser healing.

**Figure 11:** Post laser 11WKS Hyperechogenic area with peripheral Inflammatory rim

**Figure 12:** Inflammatory changes around rim resolving. Cystic changes change to hyperechogenic area suggestive of resolution.

**Figure 13:** Resolving both inflammatory changes and cystic changes with hyperechogenicity.

Changes after 2 months of laserisation

Changes after 6 months of laserisation
Figure 14: Almost healed laserised breast lump at 6 months, showing hyperechogenic center and inflammatory hypogenecity surrounding it.

Axillary lymph Nodes

Figure 15: Axillary Lymph node before laserisation, more hypoechoic cortex than central hyperechoic medulla.

Figure 16: 18 gauze spinal needle in axillary lymph node. The laser fiber is advanced through needle in to LN for laserisation.

Figure 17: Axillary lymph node during laserisation. Note liner laser wire in LN.

Figure 18: Laserised lymph Node after 2 months. Hyperecho- genic LN with hypoechoic rim.

Changes 1 yrs after laserisation

Figure 19: Lesion after 1 year Hypoechoic necrotic area with hyperechoic surrounding tissue.

Figure 20: Complete disappearance of the mass. No trace of Carcinoma Pt. D.

Figure 21: Post laser 12 months no sign of Tumour.
Discussion

Laser Ablation of Breast cancer is fast gaining acceptability in many centers around the world [8,9, 11-14]. It is now a CE and FDA approved procedure for Breast tumours [15]. The procedure is clinically acceptable due to no mutilation of the breast. The laser procedure is in real time with acceptable necrosis of breast tumour and lymph nodes in stage 2 and 3. (Figure 6,7)

Laser works by causing a photoablation (vaporization) of tissue causing direct necrosis, Photothermal and photochemical effects-Heat denaturation of proteins and photomechanical-Thrombosis of feeding vessels due to endothelial damage and retrograde thrombosis [16].

The morphological changes after laserisation is due to cell necrosis causing an interplay between hyperechogenecity and hypoechogenecity (Figure 8-14). After one year the area becomes iso-echoic or rarely hyperechogenic and may show cystic changes (Figure 19,20,21).

The initial observation of lymph node size being a criterion is no longer relevant [17,18]. Our principle is to laserised every lymph node seen on sonography. (Figure 16,17)The visualisation of lymph nodes is guided by Previous Pet Scan and on OT-table sonography [19]. The lymph nodes show lack of vascularity and hyperechogenicity after complete laserisation. The visualized lymph node is seen after 2months (Figure 18).

After reviewing the literature, we could not find any information on the changes after laserisation.

Conclusion

Laser ablation of Breast tumours is fast gaining acceptability and sonologists need to be aware of sequential findings on follow up Sonography and PET scan of the patient.
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