



Impacts of *Staphylococcus Aureus* on Dairy Animals and Humans

Milsan Getu Banu^{1*}; Tagesu Abdisa Sarbesa¹; Waktola Tamena Deresa²; Geda Kumera Merga³

¹Ambo University Departments of Veterinary Public Health, Oromia, Ethiopia.

²Bodji Dirmeji District Agriculture office, Western Oromia, Ethiopia.

³Nejo district Agriculture Office, western Oromia, Ethiopia

*Corresponding Author(s): Milsan Getu Banu

Department of Veterinary public health, Ambo University, ambo, Oromia, Ethiopia.

Tel: +251-914-89-3400;

Email: milsan.getahun@ambou.edu.et

Abstract

Staphylococcus aureus is a mammalian commensal and opportunistic pathogen that colonizes niches on 20-30% of people's skin, nares, and various mucosal membranes. *S. aureus* can infect people with a variety of ailments, and both methicillin-sensitive and methicillin-resistant strains are frequently responsible for nosocomial and community-acquired infections. Regardless of the fact that there are a number of studies describing staphylococcal pathogenesis in humans and dairy animals, *S. aureus* is a major cause of infection and disease in a wide variety of animal hosts, with a substantial impact on public health and farm animals specifically dairy cow. Animal health is adversely affected by infections caused by this bacteria, and also can serve as a reservoir for the staphylococcal bacteria that can harm humans. With the domestication and/or commercialization of particular animal species, host-switching events between humans and animals as well as among animals have become more frequent. In most cases, changing hosts is followed by adaptation through the acquisition or loss of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, pathogenicity islands, and phages, as well as supplementary host-specific mutations that allow the organism to disseminate into new host populations. An overview of *S. aureus* in animals will be provided in this chapter, as well as information on how this bacterial species has been and is still being transported to new host species and the critical factors thought to be involved in its adaptation to new ecological host niches. The relevance of animal hosts as a wellspring of antimicrobial resistance drivers, precautionary strategies, and management techniques also be highlighted.

Received: Jun 16, 2023

Accepted: June 18, 2023

Published Online: June 25, 2023

Journal: MedDocs Microbiology

Publisher: MedDocs Publishers LLC

Online edition: <http://meddocsonline.org/>

Copyright: © Banu MG (2023). This Article is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Keywords: Cow; Dairy; Human; *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Background of *Staphylococcus aureus*

The existences of *Staphylococci* are as old as the age of the earth and they were first recognized as a bacterial pathogen in the 19th century and they have been grouped under the most considerable pathogenic bacteria and cause ailment both in animals and human beings. Taxonomically, the genus *Staphylococcus* belongs to the *Staphylococcaceae* family [1]. It was investigated in 1880 by Scottish Surgeon referred to as Alexan-

der Ogston and he additionally gave the name *Staphylococcus* "Staphyle" in Greek meaning bunch of grapes, "Kokkos" means a berry because of the typical occurrence of the cocci in grape-like clusters in pus and cultures [2]. Later in 1884, German physician Friedrich Julius Rosenbach grew organisms in pure culture and categorized them according to their color production, which he named for the pigmented appearance in their colonies *Staphylococcus aureus* from "aurum" for gold [3].



Cite this article: Banu MG, Sarbesa TA, Deresa WT, Merga GK. Impacts of *Staphylococcus Aureus* on Dairy Animals and Humans. MedDocs Microbiol. 2023; 1(1): 1001.

General cultural and biochemical characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus*

All *Staphylococci* grow in clusters. *S. aureus* is an aerobic or facultative anaerobic which characterized by Gram-positive, 1µm in diameter, very thick cell wall (peptidoglycan layer), non-flagellated, non-motile round shape (cocci), non-spore-forming, capsule present in some strains, and it has grape-like clusters, singly, in pairs or short chain of 3-4 bacteria [4,5]. The key cultural characteristic features of *S. aureus* are also, free coagulase, maltose fermentation character on Purple Agar Base (PAB) media plate with 1% of maltose. *S. aureus* rapidly ferments maltose than other coagulase-positive *Staphylococci* species like *S. intermedius* and *S. hyicus* and the acid metabolic products cause the pH indicator (bromocresol purple) to change the medium and colonies to yellow. The coagulase test is used to differentiate *S. aureus* (positive) from coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*. They are also catalase-positive which is an important test for distinguishing them from *Streptococci* (catalase-negative). Moreover, *S. aureus* often causes haemolysis in blood agar due to the production of four types of haemolysins (alpha, beta, gamma, and delta) [6].

In addition to Mannitol salt agar, Baired parker agar enriched with egg yolk emulsion and potassium tellurite is selective media which mainly used for the enumeration of bacteria in the food (ISO, 2015). The main characteristic features of this bacteria on BPA plates are smooth, convex, moist, and gray to jet-black (due to reduction of tellurite in the media), frequently with light-colored (off-white) margin, surrounded by opaque zone and frequently with an outer clear zone that occurs as a result of lecithinase enzyme produced only by *S. aureus* [6].

Growth and survival characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus*

Staphylococci grow and survive under different environmental conditions [7]. It displays remarkable halotolerant in the presence of external osmotic pressure that makes these bacteria capable of colonizers of environments with low water content and high salinity, which gives them a competitive advantage over many other microorganisms [8]. These bacteria are thermotolerant and can survive at high temperatures as is shown in Table 1. Additionally, their pre-formed enterotoxins are resistant to heat treatment and many other conditions. *Staphylococci* also encounter numerous nutrient-limiting environments and can persist for extended period [9].

Table 1: Growth and survival characteristics of *S. aureus* and its enterotoxins production.

Factors	Optimal growth	Growth limit	Enterotoxin production	
			Optimal SEs	SEs limits
Temperature	35-41 °C	6-48 °C	34-40 °C	10-45 °C
Aw	0.99	0.85≥0.99	0.99	0.86≥0.99
pH	6-7	4-10	7-8	5-9.6
NaCl	0%	0-20%	0%	0-10%
Atmosphere	Aerobic	Anaerobic-Aerobic	Aerobic	Anaerobic-Aerobic

Source: [10].

Virulence factors of *Staphylococcus aureus*

Staphylococcus aureus can produce various virulence factors [11]. These virulence factors include antigens (e.g. capsule and adhesins), enzymes (e.g. hemolysins, proteases, coagulase, lipase), and toxins (e.g. enterotoxins and exfoliative toxins)

which enable the organisms to be successful as a pathogen that causes a wide range of infection both in human and animals [12]. The toxins produced by *S. aureus* retain their biological activities even after the thermal processing of the food, which imposes a crucial challenge in the food industry. These toxins are also resistant to proteolytic enzymes such as pepsin, rennin, and trypsin proteases in the gastrointestinal tract [13].

Staphylococcal Enterotoxins (SEs) are also one of the toxins produced by enterotoxigenic strains of Coagulase-Positive *Staphylococci* (CPS), majorly by *S. aureus* that result in *Staphylococcal* Food Poisoning (SFP) which are pre-formed in food materials during the growth of this bacteria [14]. In addition to SEs, hemolysins, leukotoxins, exfoliative toxins, and Toxic Shock Syndrome Toxin (TSST-1) are also the most relevant secreted toxins. Recently, around 25 SEs (SEA-Z) have been described, excluding variants and TSST-1, but new types are frequently discovered and SEA-E are considered "classical enterotoxins", while SEG-Z is termed "new enterotoxins" [15].

Epidemiology

Staphylococci are ubiquitous and widely spread in nature [16]. *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the *Staphylococcal* species and commensal of human beings. Nearly 50% of the human population are asymptomatic carriers of *S. aureus* [1]. Animals can act as a reservoir for *Staphylococci* and the prevalence of *S. aureus* varies from host species to species. For instance, up to 14 and 35% of cows and heifers are carriers of this bacteria [17]. They also colonize the skin and mucous membranes in the nostrils of humans and different animals, including cattle [18]. Additionally, birds can also harbor *S. aureus* as mammals [19]. They may be found in the mouth, blood, intestinal, genitourinary, and upper respiratory tracts of these hosts [20].

There are various risk factors for the distribution of the *S. aureus* in raw cow milk like age of the lactating cow, parity, stages of lactations, udder washing intervals, and drying towel [21]. The unhygienic conditions in the farm including uncleaned bedding might have exposed teat ends and facilitated the entry of *S. aureus* into the udder of the cow [22]. Some of the silent features for the spread of these pathogens to the udder of the cows are milker's hand, towels, flies, milking equipment such as teat liners [23]. Moreover, *S. aureus* also commonly results in a chronic infection that will persist from one lactation to another despite dry cow therapy [24]. From more than 140 reported bovine mastitis-causing bacterial species, *S. aureus* stands in an average of numbers as the leading source of intra-mammary infections in dairy cows [25].

Sources of food contamination and reservoir of *S. aureus*

Humans and animals are reservoirs of *S. aureus* [26]. The chronically infected mammary gland of an animal serves as the reservoir and source of *S. aureus* and vestibulum nasi (anterior nares) of animals and humans mainly serves as a reservoir for the spread of the pathogen [27]. The nasal cavity may also represent the primary reservoir in other species of animals (e.g. Sheep). Furthermore, *S. aureus* can survive for some time in the dairy cow environment, including bedding materials, milking equipment, and facilities (Rainard *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, meat and its products are also important reservoirs of *S. aureus* (Weldeselassie *et al.*, 2020). The contamination of the raw milk with *S. aureus* can occur in the dairy farm which is either associated with milkers or milk handlers, especially those with poor hygienic habits such as coughing or sneezing during milking or

milk handling, the milking parlour environment or skin of dairy animals (Petróczki *et al.*, 2021).

Vehicle of transmission

Carriers of *S. aureus* majorly act as vehicles of transmission and can move the bacteria from their nose to other body parts with their hands, sometimes leading to infection [27]. *S. aureus* can be found in different body sites like the skin and gastrointestinal tract. People who are hospitalized or work in a hospital are more likely to be carriers. It is reported that 10 to 35% and 20 to 75% of humans are persistent and intermittent carriers of *S. aureus*, respectively [26]. *S. aureus* is usually transferred by direct contact with a colonized individual as well as skin-to-skin with excretions (saliva or aerosols released through sneezing and coughing) which contain these bacteria [22]. The contaminated objects and surfaces might also play a role in the transmission of the *S. aureus* because it has the capability of surviving on dry stainless steel and it can easily be transferred from sponges to stainless steel surfaces and subsequently to food products (E.g. milking equipment to milk). Furthermore, food handlers also serve as determinant factors for the transmission of this bacteria to the food under unhygienic conditions [27]. It can also be spread by non-pasteurized dairy products such as milk [28].

Pathogenesis and clinical symptoms

The pathogenesis of diseases caused by *S. aureus* is based on the direct infection of the organism or ingestion of the intoxicated food by its preformed enterotoxins. The process of *S. aureus* infection contains five stages. They are colonization, local infection, systemic dissemination and/or sepsis, metastatic infections, and toxicosis. The organism is in a carrier state in the anterior nares and can remain without causing infections for weeks or months. The colonization proceeds to infection under certain predisposing factors such as prolonged hospitalization, immune suppression, surgeries, use of invasive medical devices and chronic metabolic diseases [29]. Localized skin abscess develops when the organism is inoculated into the skin from a site of carriage. This can further spread and result in various clinical manifestations of localized infections such as carbuncle, cellulitis, impetigo bullosa or wound infection [30]. In the case of SFP, the ingestion of food contaminated with preformed *S. aureus* enterotoxins and stimulation of vagus-nerve finally results in some symptoms like retching, abdominal cramps and diarrhea [31].

Staphylococcal foodborne disease

Staphylococcus aureus is rated as the third most important cause of foodborne disease in the world. The first foodborne disease involving *Staphylococci* was investigated in Michigan (USA) in 1884 by Vaughan and Sternberg and by Denys in 1894 with contaminated cheeses and meat respectively [32]. This event was due to the consumption of cheese contaminated by *Staphylococci*. Because, dairy and dairy products are protein-rich food that are vehicles of amino acids and low-molecular-weight peptides which support the survival and growth of *S. aureus* [33]. The highest risk of *S. aureus* is its ability to produce thermostable enterotoxins and microbial count in the milk of greater than $5 \log_{10}$ CFU/ml is evidence of serious faults in milk production hygiene [34].

In foods, enterotoxigenic strains of *S. aureus* can produce heat-stable and protease-resistant *Staphylococcal* Enterotoxins (SEs), causing one of the most common foodborne intoxications [27], *Staphylococcal enterotoxins* are secreted toxins of 20 to 30 kD that interfere with intestinal function and cause *Staphylo-*

coccal food poisoning, which is one of the most common FBDs worldwide with high occurrence next to salmonellosis. *Staphylococcal food poisoning* is highly stable, resists most proteolytic enzymes, and keeps its activity in the digestive tract after ingestion.

It is also a self-limiting disease that usually resolves within 24 to 48 hours of onset and is usually associated with vomiting [12].

Besides, *S. aureus*, other *Staphylococcus* species, including Coagulase Positive *Staphylococci* (CPS) such as *S. intermedius* and Coagulase Negative *Staphylococci* (CNS) (*S. epidermis* and *S. haemolyticus*) can also produce exotoxins. However, nearly all cases of SFP are attributed to *S. aureus*-produced toxins [35]. The toxins produced by *S. aureus* belong to a wide family of pyrogenic toxins superantigens. Pyrogenic toxins provoke superantigenic activity [15]. The superantigenic activity of SEs helps to encourage transcytosis, which enables the toxin to enter the bloodstream, thereby allowing it to interact with antigen-presenting cells and T-cells, contributing to the activity of superantigens. The typical clinical symptoms of SFP include nausea, retching/vomiting, and abdominal cramps often accompanied by diarrhea [36].

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of *S. aureus* depends on the diseases they cause and clinical symptoms. Because it results in a variety of infections and food poisoning or intoxication. In the case of *Staphylococcal* food poisoning, the typical clinical signs of SFPs such as vomiting, diarrhea which starts within a half-hour after the consumption of food may suggest *S. aureus* intoxication tentatively. But, for confirmation, microbiological, immunological, and molecular examinations or a combination of these techniques can be carried out [26]. The bacteriological diagnosis of *S. aureus* includes morphological characteristics under a microscope after Gram staining, catalase test, coagulase test, colony characteristics on different selective culture media like Mannitol salt agar, purple agar base, and Baird Parker agar media with addition of required supplement [37].

The immunological tests are also one of the major diagnostic tools of *S. aureus*. The most frequently used tests are Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA), Reverse Passive Latex Agglutination (RPLA), and Radioimmunological Assay (RIA). But, Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA) are commonly used. However, it is very difficult and expensive to prepare a highly-purified antibody against the SEs, which are required for the immunological test. The gel-diffusion methods have been used primarily for the detection of enterotoxin produced by *Staphylococcal* strains, although the RPLA method is used for testing strains for low production of enterotoxin. The RIA method was used for testing for enterotoxin in foods until the ELISA and RPLA were available [38].

The molecular diagnostic methods also one of the new diagnostic tests used to detect the different microorganism within a short period of times. The molecular assay includes the extraction of *S. aureus* DNA either directly from food or a cultured broth and then testing for the presence of enterotoxin genes. Polymerase chain reaction PCR and real-time PCR are the majorly recommended molecular detection methods of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin genes [36].

Public Health and Economic Significance of *Staphylococcus aureus*

Public health significance of *Staphylococcus aureus*

Staphylococcus aureus is a major pathogen of public health concern and a growing burden for the healthcare system all over the globe. Approximately about 30% of the human population is colonized with *S. aureus* [30]. It causes a wide range of serious diseases in humans like bacteremia, skin and soft tissue infections, and Infectious Endocarditis (IE), osteoarticular, pleuropulmonary, and food poisoning as well as life-threatening postsurgical infections [39].

The highest incidence rate of infection with *S. aureus* occurs at extreme life (old age), immunocompromised individuals, and Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) or defects in neutrophil function, diabetes and loss of normal skin barriers are core predisposing factors of an individual. These bacteria alone have been found to cause hospitalization rates as high as 14% and the fatality rates range from 0.03% in the general population to as high as 4.4% for highly sensitive persons [38]. For instance, the *S. aureus* bacteremia can cause mortality rates of around 20-30% [40].

The emergence of Methicillin-Resistant *S. Aureus* (MRSA) strain has also become a pathogen of increasing importance in the hospital community and also in livestock in addition to SEs [41]. Specifically, the new strain of *S. aureus*, Livestock-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *S. Aureus* (LA-MRSA), is recognized as an emerging novel pathogen that causes human infections. Different figures were provided by various nations regarding the annual mortality rate due to AMR with 22000, 25000 and 12500 extra deaths in the United States, Europe, and France respectively [29].

The economic significance of *Staphylococcus aureus*

Staphylococcus aureus has major effects on the economy of the world directly or indirectly. It results in huge financial losses in dairy farms associated with mortality, culling of infected dairy cows, spoiling of the milk, lower shelf life, decreased yield of milk products, cost of treatment, and decreased milk quality (change in milk composition, and palatability [42]). There is also loss of the milk due to drug residue [43]. The infection caused by this species of bacteria is estimated to be present in up to 90% of dairy farms and is responsible for 35% of the economic losses in the dairy industry. For instance, annual losses due to *Staphylococcal* mastitis are estimated to be 35 billion US dollars in the world [44]. It also causes high hospitalization costs for drug resistance like MRSA [45]. Furthermore, this species of bacteria also cause damage to food through the production of different enterotoxins [11].

Treatment

In human

There is no effective long-term decolonization therapy for *S. aureus* carriers. Even with the use of antibiotics, *S. aureus* can only be removed from the nose over a few weeks, but relapses are common within several months [46]. The treatment of *S. aureus* in human patients is based on the disease it causes. In the case of *Staphylococcal* food intoxication, the treatment aim is to replace fluids, salt, and minerals that are lost by vomiting or diarrhoea. Because, antimicrobial treatment is not recommended in case of SFP due to the additional release of *Staphylococcal* toxins after bacterial cell death, leading to septic shock [47]. However, infections caused by *S. aureus* in human beings are treated with various antimicrobials with some limitations like AMR [48]. Some studies showed that 90% of *S. aureus* isolated from carrier patients are resistant to penicillin due to β -

lactamase enzyme production or changes like penicillin binding protein (PBPs). However, β -lactam clavulanic acid such as Co-amoxiclav is used to treat *S. aureus* β -lactamase producing strain. In the case of infection caused by methicillin-resistant strains of *S. aureus*, teicoplanin and vancomycin are used [49].

In animals

Staphylococcus aureus is a common cause of mastitis in dairy cows and a primary reason for antibiotic use on farm animals [50]. Antibiotic treatment does not control diseases in animals but it may shorten the duration of infection. *S. aureus* is associated with both clinical and subclinical mastitis, both of which frequently result in persistent and recurrent infections with a low cure rate after antibiotic therapy [17]. The cure rates for lactational *S. aureus* treatments are low, but dry cow therapy is typically more effective. In particular, cloxacillin is extensively used on dairy farms and cure rates for dry cow treatment of *S. aureus* infections with cloxacillin were reported to range up to 98% and pirlimycin is also effective against *S. aureus* infection in cows [23].

Prevention and Control

Prevention

The elimination of *S. aureus* from the environment is not possible. Because, they are ubiquitous [16]. Prevention of *Staphylococcal* infections/intoxication requires different strategies to interrupt various modes of transmission, including proper food handling and processing protocols, sufficient cleaning and disinfection of equipment, protection of cross-contamination in the home, and a kitchen [36]. Dry cow therapy and optimizing hygienic practices in the farm to fork chain is essential to minimizing or enhancing the quality of the food to protect public health from foodborne pathogens and public awareness regarding food handling [51]. The prevention of further dissemination of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* (MRSA) with a zoonotic potential also needs concerted action of veterinary infection control specialists and clinicians by obtaining necessary information regarding the prevalence of MRSA infection before implementing strategies for infection control in veterinary medical practice [52].

Control

The control of *S. aureus* from entering into animal origin food, especially milk through different strategic programs includes improvements in personal hygiene practices among healthcare workers and food handlers, decontamination of equipment, surfaces, and clothing, judicious use of antibiotics, proper cooking and storage of foods, and screening program [38]. Training those who prepare food at home, prohibiting individuals with abscesses or other skin lesions from handling food; refrigeration at 4°C or lower of all foods to prevent bacterial multiplication and the formation of toxins. Foods must be kept at room temperature for as little time as possible. The veterinary service should be supervising dairy installations, the correct operation of refrigeration units and their use immediately after milking, and refrigerated transport of the milk to pasteurization plants [53]. Proper use of antibiotics for treating animals and following the principle of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) [54].

The lack of effectiveness of the current strategies (principally based on antiseptic teat dipping after milking and antibiotic therapy during the dry period) to suppress *S. aureus* has been

promoted in the sense of vaccine preparation against *S. aureus*, which is a reasonable/alternative approach for the control of these microorganisms associated with mastitis [55]. Routine hygiene procedures of milking such as washing the udder using a disinfectant, use of separate towel, and teat dipping are effectively decreasing mastitis which finally may result in contamination of the milk at different food chains and culling of MRSA suspected cows from the herd [23].

Reference

1. Becker K, Heilmann C, Peters G. Coagulase-negative Staphylococci. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2014; 27, 870-926.
2. Khan M. Brief history of *S. aureus*: A focus to antibiotic resistance. *EC Microbiology*. 2017; 5: 36-39.
3. Oliveira D, Borges A, Simões M. *S. aureus* toxins and their molecular activity in infectious diseases. *Toxins*. 2018; 10: 1-9.
4. Agmas B, Tsehayneh B, Yayeh T. *S. aureus* health risk from ready-to-eat raw beef meat and associated risk factors in North West. *Scholarly Journal of Food and Nutrition*. 2020; 3: 1-10.
5. Habib F, Rind R, Durani N, Bhutto A, Buriro R, Tunio A, et al. Morphological and cultural characterization of *S. aureus* isolated from different animal species. *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Science*. 2015; 5, 15-25.
6. Murray R, Baron J, Jorgensen H, Tenover F, Tenover H. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*. 8th editions, Washington, DC, USA: American society for microbiology. 2003.
7. Dastgheyb S, Otto M. Staphylococcal adaptation to diverse physiologic niches: An overview of transcriptomic and phenotypic changes in different biological environments. *Future Microbiology*. 2015; 10: 1981-1995.
8. Tsai M, Ohniwa R, Kato Y, Takeshita S, Ohta T, et al. *S. aureus* requires cardiolipin for survival under conditions of high salinity. *BMC Microbiology*. 2011; 11: 1- 13.
9. Onyango L, Alreshidi M. Adaptive metabolism in Staphylococci: Survival and persistence in environmental and clinical settings. *Journal of Pathogens*: 2018; 1-11.
10. Cretenet M, Even S, Le Loir Y. Unveiling *S. aureus* enterotoxin production in dairy products: A review of recent advances to face new challenges. *Dairy Science and Technology*. 2011; 91: 127-150.
11. Kadariya J, Smith T, Thapaliya D. *S. aureus* and Staphylococcal food-borne disease: And ongoing challenge in public health. *BMC Research International*. 2014; 1-9.
12. Kim M. *S. aureus* toxins: From their pathogenic roles to antivirulence therapy using natural products. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*. 2019; 24, 424-435.
13. Khalifa E. *S. aureus* isolated from raw meat products and food handlers: Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular characterization. *Life Science Journal*. 2018; 15: 14-21.
14. Cousin M, Härdi-landerer M, Völk V, Bodmer M. Control of *S. aureus* in dairy herd in a region with raw milk cheese production: Farmers attitudes, knowledge, behaviours and belief in self-efficacy. *BMC Veterinary Research*. 2018; 14: 1-13.
15. Etter D, Schelin J, Schuppler M, Sophia J. Review Staphylococcal enterotoxin C- An update on SEC variants, their structure and properties, and their role in foodborne intoxications. *Toxins*. 2020; 12: 1-17.
16. Richardson LA. From friend to foe: Toxicity trade-offs govern *S. aureus* infection severity. *PLOS Biology*. 2015; 13: 1-3.
17. Haag F, Fitzgerald R, Penadés R. *S. aureus* in Animals. *Microbiology Spectrum*. 2019; 3: 1-19.
18. Pekana A, Green E. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of *S. aureus* isolated from meat carcasses and bovine milk in abattoirs and dairy farms of the Eastern Cape, South Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2018; 15: 1-3.
19. Viedma E. Molecular epidemiology of *S. aureus* bacteremia: Association of molecular factors with the source of infection. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018; 9: 1-11.
20. Crosby H, Kwiecinski J, Horswill A, Roy J, City I. *S. aureus* aggregation and coagulation mechanisms, and their function in host-pathogen interactions. *Advance in Applied Microbiology*. 2016; 96: 1-41.
21. Taponen S, Liski E, Heikkilä A, Pyörälä S. Factors associated with intramammary infection in dairy cows caused by coagulase-negative Staphylococci, *S. aureus*, *Streptococcus uberis*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Corynebacterium bovis*, or *E. coli*. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2017; 100: 1-11.
22. Roberts C, Garland-lewis G, Trufan S, Meschke J, Fowler H, et al. Distribution of Staphylococcus species in dairy cows, workers and shared farm environments. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2018; 365: 1-7.
23. Pumipuntu N, Tunyong W, Chantratita N, Diraphat P, Pumirat P, et al. Staphylococcus spp. associated with subclinical bovine mastitis in the Central and Northeast provinces of Thailand. *Peer Journal*. 2019; 1-21.
24. Tong S, Davis J, Eichenberger E, Holland T, Fowler V. *S. aureus* infections: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestation, and management. *Clinical Microbiology Review*. 2015; 28: 603-661.
25. Pumipuntu N, Tunyong W, Chantratita N, Diraphat P, Pumirat P, et al. Staphylococcus spp. associated with subclinical bovine mastitis in the Central and Northeast provinces of Thailand. *Peer Journal*. 2019; 1-21.
26. Wang W, Lin X, Jiang T, Peng Z, Xu J, et al. Prevalence and characterization of *s. aureus* cultured from raw milk taken from dairy cows with mastitis in Beijing, China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018; 9: 1-16.
27. Dittmann K, Chaul L, Lee S, Corassin C, Fernandes De Oliveira C, et al. *S. aureus* in some Brazilian dairy industries: changes of contamination and diversity. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017; 8: 1-12.
28. El-Jakee J, Nagwa A, Bakry M, Zouelfakar S, Elgabry E, et al. Characteristics of *S. aureus* strains isolated from human and animal sources. *American- Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*. 2008; 4: 221-229.
29. Reddy P, Srirama K, Dirisala V. An update on the clinical burden, diagnostic tools, and therapeutic options of *S.aureus*. *Infectious Diseases Research and Treatment*. 2017; 10: 1- 15.
30. Park S, Ronholm J. Staphylococcus aureus in agriculture: Lessons in evolution from a multispecies pathogen. *Clinical Microbiology Review*. 2021; 34: 1-27.
31. Krakauer T. Review on Staphylococcal Superantigens: Pyrogenic toxins induce toxic shock. *Toxins*. 2019; 11: 1-19.
32. Stewart GC. Staphylococcal Food Poisoning ingestion. 3rd edition, In *Foodborne Diseases*. USA, Elsevier Inc. 2017; 367-380.
33. Grisoldi L, Karama M, Armani A, Hadjicharalambous C, Cenci-Goga BT. *S. aureus* enterotoxin in food of animal origin and SFP risk assessment from farm to table. *Italian Journal of Animal Sci-*

- ence. 2021; 20: 677-690.
34. Martínez-Vasallo A, Ribot-Enríquez A, Riverón-Alemán Y, Ramón-Díaz D, Martínez- García Y, et al. *S. aureus* in the production chain of artisan fresh cheese. *Revista de Salud Animal*. 2019; 41: 1-9.
 35. Haghi F, Zeighami H, Hajiloo Z, Torabi N, Derakhshan S. High frequency of enterotoxins encoding genes of *S.aureus* isolated from food and clinical samples, *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*. 2021; 40: 1-6.
 36. Toubar S, Elbially A, Zaky M, El-shafey A. Laboratory diagnosis of Staphylococcal enterotoxins causing food poisoning. *World Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2018; 3: 31-36.
 37. Thaker H, Brahmabhatt M, Nayak J. Isolation and identification of *S. aureus* from milk and milk products and their drug resistance patterns in Anand, Gujarat. *Veterinary World*. 2013; 6: 10-13.
 38. Argaw S, Addis M, Degefu H. Identification and antimicrobial resistance pattern of staphylococci isolated from cottage cheese (ayib) and yoghurt (ergo) in selected districts of Jimma Zone, Ethiopia. *Health Science Journal*. 2018; 12: 1-8.
 39. Kika B, Abazaj E, Petri O, Koraqi A. Prevalence and risk factors of *S. aureus* infection in hospitalized patients in Tirana. *Journal of Bacteriology and Parasitology*. 2018; 9: 1-5.
 40. Yilmaz S, Aslantas O. Antimicrobial resistance and underlying mechanisms in *S.aureus* isolates. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2017; 10: 1059-1064.
 41. Pal M, Kerorsa G, Megersa L, Kandi V. Epidemiology, pathogenicity, animal infections, antibiotic resistance, public health significance, and economic impact of *S. aureus*: A comprehensive review. *American Journal of Public Health Research*. 2020; 8: 14-21.
 42. EFSA and CDC. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and foodborne outbreaks in 2015. *EFSA Journal*. 2016; 14: 4634.
 43. Abebe, R, Hatiya, H, Abera, M, Megersa, B, Asmare, K. Bovine mastitis: Prevalence, risk factors and isolation of *S.aureus* in dairy herds at Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. *BMC Veterinary Research*. 2016; 12: 1-11.
 44. Gohary A, Gohary F, Elsayed M, ElFateh M. In-Vitro investigation on the antiseptic efficacy of commonly used disinfectants in dairy farms against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*. *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences*. 2019; 60: 86.
 45. Klein Y, Jiang W, Mojica N, Tseng K, McNeill R, et al. National costs associated with methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* hospitalizations in the United States, 2010-2014. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2019; 68: 22-28.
 46. Gompelman M, Wouters Y, Kievit W, Hopman J, Wertheim HF, et al. Long-term *S. aureus* decolonization in patients on home parenteral nutrition: Study protocol for a randomized multicenter trial. *Trials*. 2018; 19: 1-11.
 47. Mourenza Á, Gil JA, Mateos LM, Letek M. Review novel treatments and preventative strategies against food-poisoning caused by Staphylococcal species. *Pathogens*. 2021; 10: 1-12.
 48. Guo Y, Song G, Sun M, Wang J, Wang Y. Prevalence and therapies of antibiotic resistance in *S. aureus*. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*. 2020; 10: 1-11.
 49. Ghalehnoo Z. Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of *S. aureus*. *International Journal of Medical and Health Research*. 2018; 4: 68-70.
 50. El-Fateh M, El-Gohary A, Elsayed M, El-Gohary F. Prevalence and potential risk factors associated with methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infection in dairy farms. *Mansoura Veterinary Medical Journal*. 2020; 21: 39-47.
 51. Rani Z, Hugo T, Hugo A, Vimiso C, Muchenje V. Effect of post-slaughter handling during distribution on microbiological quality and safety of meat in the formal and informal sectors of South Africa: A review. *South African Journal of Animal Sciences*. 2017; 47: 255-267.
 52. Stella AE, Lima F, Moreira N, Paula EM. Characterization of *S. aureus* Strains isolated from Veterinary Hospital. *International Journal of Microbiology*. 2020; 1-5.
 53. Berge AC, Baars T. Raw milk producers with high levels of hygiene and safety. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2020; 148: 1-7.
 54. Abebe E, Gugsu G, Ahmed, M. Review on major foodborne zoonotic bacterial pathogens. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2020; 1-19.
 55. Indarjulianto S, Nururroz Y, Purnamaningsih H, Ramandani DH. The benefits of teat dipping as prevention of mastitis. *Journal of Livestock Science and Production*. 2020; 4: 231- 249.