ISSN: 2640-1223



Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences

Open Access | Review Article

Molecular Detection of Fancy Birds Parasites for Clinical Diagnosis and Epidemiology - A Review

Muhammad Fiaz Qamar¹*; Rao Zahid Abbas²; Muhammad Arfan Zaman¹; Kazim Ali¹; Farhan Ahmad Atif³; Tahir Hussain¹; Iram Liaqat⁴; Madiha Kiran¹; Yousef AbdalJalil Fadladdin⁵; Asia Bibi⁶; Milka Vaijan Londhe⁷; Javeria Saeed¹

¹Department of Pathobiology, University Of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore Sub-Campus Jhang, Pakistan.

²Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan.

³Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore Sub-Campus, Jhang, Pakistan. ⁴Department of Zoology, Government College University, Lahore, Pakistan.

⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Department of Zoology, the Women University Multan-Pakistan.

⁷Arsi University, College Of Health Sciences, Assela, Ethiopia.

*Corresponding Author(s): Muhammad Fiaz Qamar Department of Pathobiology, University Of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore Sub-Campus Jhang-35200, Pakistan.

Email: fiaz.qamar@uvas.edu.pk

Received: Nov 06, 2021

Accepted: Dec 13, 2021

Published Online: Dec 17, 2021

Journal: Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences

Publisher: MedDocs Publishers LLC

Online edition: http://meddocsonline.org/

Copyright: © Qamar MF (2021). This Article is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Keywords: Phylogenetic analysis; Polymerase chain reaction (PCR); Ectoparasites; Endoparasites; Zoonotic potential

Abstract

Fancy birds in Pakistan and all around world play a pivotal role in the economy and social associations with community. These birds includes pigeons, peacock, ducks, love birds, chicken, doves and parrots. This study is about fancy birds and their parasites that cause different diseases to other birds as well as infection to human beings. Bird's parasites can be isolated and detected by using different techniques i.e. direct and indirect faecel examination and microscopy, flotation techniques. Molecular techniques with phylogenetic analysis and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The most prevalent ectoparasites are Ceratophyllus columbae, Pseudolynchia canariensis, Menopon gallinae, Lipeurus caponis, Knemidokoptes pilae, Dermanyssus gallinae, Argas persicus, Menacanthus stramineus and Goniocotes gallinae. However, the endoparasites include Trichomonas gallinae, Eimeria spp., Ascaridia columbae, Cryptosporidium meleagridis, Raillietina echinobothrida, Heterakis gallinarum, Syngamus trachea, Davainea proglottina and Capilaria. spp. The diagnosis of parasites is utmost needed for the strategic control and treatment of infections to prevent huge economic loses and mortality. It is concluded that fancy birds harbor various ecto- and endoparasites that contribute zoonotic diseases to the people who are in contact with them. Prevalence of these parasites is very high and fewer studies are available on the subject to address the impact and importance of their role in zoonosis. The purpose of this manuscript is to review the fundamental significances of studies on zoonotic potential between birds and their impact on veterinary professionals, birds and public health.



Cite this article: Qamar MF, Abbas RZ, Zaman MA, Ali K, Atif FA, et al. Molecular Detection of Fancy Birds Parasites for Clinical Diagnosis and Epidemiology-A Review. J Vet Med Animal Sci. 2021; 4(2): 1089.

Introduction

Birds are significant for environment just as for human beings, they play an imperative part in each living thing present on earth [1]. There are approximately 18,000 species of the birds across the world and almost 787 bird species have been found in Pakistan (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_birds_of_Pakistan)[2]. According to Charles Robert Darwin, all fancy strains were originated from Rock Dove by artificial selection. The favorite hobby in the people of Pakistan is to rear the beautiful and lovely birds as a decorative purpose and to fascinate public and also enhance the attractiveness of their houses. These birds are commonly called fancy birds like Pigeons, parrots, peacock, doves, duck, love birds and chicken. Breeding of fancy birds is also starting through domesticating them in birdcages [3].

Primary selective breeding of pigeons was seen in Egypt. The breeding of pigeons is also common in Pakistan, which is domesticated by many people and started about 10000 years ago [4]. The expression of "Domestic or Pet bird" assigns birds kept and reproduce for a solely fancy usage. This classification incorporates and will allude to primarily Passeriformes (for example sparrows, finches and canaries), corresponding called songbirds [5]. We can easily keep them in captivity according to their specific requirements of specie for a prolonged time by giving special attention. The climate conditions of Pakistan are very favorable for a variety of birds as they can easily adjust [3]. During the last several years, Karachi has become the largest bird breeding and exporting region followed by Lahore [6].

According to business point of view, these birds are very beneficial as total cost of their rearing is very small due to huge number of resources in Pakistan. Production cost is very small but it pays nearly 75-85% profit from them [3]. On the other hand, due to the lack of attention and improper handling, these fancy birds can be infected by any disease-causing agent [3].

The purpose of this review is to provide detailed information about zoonotic potential between birds and their impact on veterinary professionals, birds and public health. It also discuss studies for clinical diagnosis at molecular level.

Zoonotic potential

In Pakistan, the most common diseases are candida, cocci, paratyphoid, adenovirus, ornithosis, worms, canker, and paramyxovirus, avian trichomonosis [3]. Similarly, these living beings are expected importers as well as transmitters of Zoonotic infections. Some of them could critically infect human being, as chlamydophilosis, Newcastle Disease (ND), Bursal disease, salmonellosis or even exceptionally pathogenic avian flu AHSNI [7]. Even though non-comprehensive, targets edifying by the depiction of a few instances of bird human relation, the danger experienced by bird vendors as well as youngsters. Public health outcomes are deliberated, and accentuation are made on few vector borne infections, identified as rising or which are disparaged, similar to those sent by the red mite *dermanyssus gallinae*. At last, Cleanliness and biosecurity, just as counteraction rules are created a perception suggested by Boseret et al. [8].

Many infections that are transferred in humans by birds are named zoonotic disease. Parrot fever is also called Psittacosis and a zoonotic infectious disease in humans, which is orthosis, spread by a bacterium known as *Chlamydia psittaci* and constricted from infected parrots (cockatiels, macaws and budgerigars), sparrows, hens, ducks, pigeons and also from other birds species [9].

Recommendations

According to previous studies, to minimize the risk of diseases or infections in Antarctica, some recommendations are made including "establishment of serum banks" and arrange "central clearinghouse for evidence on suspected disease prevalence". But unfortunately, these recommendations have not been applied yet. To avoid outbreaks or make decisions, these two commendations must be conformed to enhance our understanding regarding the health of mammals and bird populations in Antarctica [10].

We can prevent zoonotic infections by adopting a simple hygiene environment, which humans and birds share. We should be careful and always sanitized or wash hands after dealing with birds. As every bird is not harboring such infections but it is necessary to be safe and healthy [9].

Parasites of fancy birds

The term "parasite" is originated from the Greek "parasitos" means individuals that eat at the side of other and generally with harmful impacts on the host. The life cycle of parasites can be divided as direct and indirect transmission i.e. from host to host or from one to another respectively [11].

Types of parasites

Protozoa, arthropods and helminths i.e. cestodes, trematodes and nematodes are the parasites of parrots termed *ectoparasites* and *endoparasites* [12].

Infections by parasites in birds

Some parasites occur as primary or opportunistic pathogens that may be effective means causing little injury or uneffective means causing infection or death. These parasites may affect the organ systems like muscles, kidneys, skin, gastrointestinal tract, respiratory tract and blood [12]. Opportunistic parasites are present in rabbits as well as also common in humans or animals i.e. Microsporidia [13].

In the 19th century, *Trichomonas gallinae* and *Tetratrichomonas gallinarum*, are the members of Trichomonadidae family which are important parasites found in the birds [14]. *Trichomonas* is widely spread disease caused by single-celled protozoan parasites i.e. *Trichomonas gallinae*. Parasitic infections can harmfully effect human health and indigenous animal suitability [15]. In the protection of bird species, parasites are one of the significant concerns due to the cause of wildlife health problems and death. Parasites are found on equally wild and caged bird species, which causes several infections e.g. avian malaria, ornithosis or psittacosis and bird flu [16].

Cryptosporidiosis is the primary protozoan infections in birds. It shows as either a respiratory or gastrointestinal disease and it influences countless avian species across a few regions. The purpose of this survey is to investigate the fundamental consequences of studies on cryptosporidiosis between birds and the significance of these outcomes to veterinary medication and public health [17].

Studies also conducted on the complaints of black flies i.e. Simulium spp., which attacks several species of poultry and increases the rate of mortality and morbidity in affected groups. While black flies can cause infection directly like breakdown of cardiopulmonary and anaphylactoid responses. In poultry birds, some species of protozoans i.e. Leucocytozoon spp. are identified which are transferred by black flies and may cause injury and death [18].

In domestic birds, a common parasitic infection is Heterakidosis which is caused by the species of *Heterakis* including *Heterakis gallinarum*, *H. isolonche*, and *H. dispar*. Mostly noted that in *gallinaceous* birds the superlative defined species is *H. gallinarum* while *H. dispar* stays the major species in waterfowl [19]. But as compared to wild birds, caged birds are more susceptible to parasites because wild birds can leave hostile atmosphere and naturally handle health contests. The evaluation of this study covers all the aspects i.e. epidemiology, aetiology, pathogenesis, clinical symptoms, injuries, analysis, cure and inhibition of the parasites [20].

Factors in the transmission of diseases

Pathogenic load increased in atmosphere where cohort and birdcage parrots alive together due to close bounds. The risk of infection and disease becomes larger due to the highest exposure of birds to parasites and microbes, which may leads to increased pathogenic load. The discussion about the parasitic and microbial infection in companion or aviary parrots leads to cover their origins, pathogens, diagnosis, treatment, and some of the supplementary risk factors [12]. Subclinical infections may be caused by parasitic diseases, which found in birds even they occur in small amount [21].

Age and sex of game birds are also identified and ensured substantial (p > 0.05) effect in gastrointestinal parasitic diseases. According to these results, it was determined that birds, regardless of age, season, sex, cure, gastrointestinal organisms are the sever hazard to birds in Dhaka (Municipality), Bangladesh [22].

Different factors involved in the transmission of parasites between the hosts are significant for observation of animal parasites before they effectively arise in people and increasing the effectiveness of projects for the control and management of zoonotic infections. For multi-host infectious diseases, a developed study conduct about wildlife ecology, parasite sharing and prevalence that could be expanded and merged into active surveillance structures. These techniques reflect developing interdisciplinary methods by significant capacity for the detection of upcoming zoonotic parasites and unidentified reservoirs of present zoonosis, policies for the decrease of parasite frequency and transmission between hosts, and reducing the load of contagious infections [23].

The properties of pathogens and parasites are not afar by Antarctic birds. Though, widespread infection for bird's populations but the latent environment significances in Antarctica have established slight attention [24]. Available data about disease was evaluated by Andre's and Mari'a [10]. Parasites and its infection were also analyzed on Antarctic birds. Host species, pests and pathogens or topographical areas data is still imperfect and information about the environmental effects on inhabitants which consists of how birds react towards parasites and pathogens are almost not existing. To control the prevalence of outbursts, this data is essential and also support in managing process [10].

So, it was suggested that further study is required to found overall patterns of chronological and longitudinal differences and also determine how these patterns can affect pathogens or microbes and parasites [25]. In the perspective of pathogenicity of trichomonads, virulence factors were studied in restricted data, which varies significantly, and showing specific strain heterogeneity of the parasites. In future, resistant parasites become more challenging. Presenting further standardized genetic analysis and surveys focused on the host-pathogen contact should be supportive to illuminate virulence factors that might lead to new perceptions of handling [26].

Epidemiology of fancy bird parasites

Identification and prevalence of parasites (ectoparasites and endoparasites) in local pigeons (Columba livia) in Tripoli; Libya. Every pigeon was analyzed for ectoparasites. The analysis showed that 55% (55/100) were diseased with T. gallinae whereas 76% (76/100) with *Haemoproteus spp*. The frequency of ecto-parasitic invasion was 89% as in Columbicloa columbae (82%), Goniodes gallinae (18%), Menopon gallinae (3%) and Pseudolynchia canariensis (1%). While in examined pigeons the intestinal helminths prevalence ewas 56% (56/100). Three species of Nematoda i.e. 18% Heterakis gallinarum., 22% Ascaridia galli and 4% Capillaria spp. and also three species of Cestoda i.e. 2% Raillietina tetragona, 32% R. echinobothrida and 4% R. cesticillus were detected. From this study, it was concluded that pigeons infected with different types of parasites and highlight that hosts of helminths are pigeons of veterinary significance and also cause diseases in other avian hosts [27]. The prevalence of endo and ecto parasites in some fancy birds is given in the following (Table 1).

Prevalence in some samples of pet birds was analyzed by faecel flotation method. Generally, 35.6% of the birds parasites i.e. 42.2% of zoo birds and 27% of domestic birds, including *Ascaridia* (6.8%), *Strongyles* (5.5%), *Strongyles*-Capillarids (8.9%), *G. duodenalis* Assemblage A (5.3%), Coccidia (4.1%), *Cryptosporidium* (4%), *Porrocaecum*-Capillarids (2%), *Porrocaecum* (2.7%), and *Syngamus*-Capillarids (0.7%). As compared to domestic birds, zoo birds were most probable to harbor different diseases whereas indicative birds to be parasitized [28]. Therefore, Clinicians should be conscious of the public health suggestions posed by *Cryptosporidium spp.* and zoonotic *G. duodenalis* Collections in caged birds [29].

Some findings in the occurrence of intestinal parasites of poultry discovered that 62% were diseased with diverse species of parasites including *Ascaridia galli*; coccidian; *Heterakis gallinae* (C ecal worm); *Syngamus trachea* (Gapeworms); *Capillaria annulata* (Thread worm) and Tape worm. *Ascaridia, galli* was the most dominant species (17.2%) among the helminthes. It was suggested that a sustainable control methodology after the determination of high prevalence of diverse diseases and parasitism could be a major imperative to creation in the study area [30].

Generally, occurrence of protozoa and GI helminths were documented as 11.32% and 26.05% correspondingly. The occurrence was most noteworthy in the laying birds continued all together by agonizing and developing birds. Economically elevated birds, regular lodging framework, manual taking care of and watering structures, crumbed-feed and ruined surface were found having positive measurable relationship with the GI parasitism in layer birds of the analysis zone. This information won't just be useful for the little holder poultry growers to regulate their cultivating rehearses yet additionally for the arrangement and chiefs to execute techniques that can limit the danger of GI parasitism in business just as lawn poultry raising frameworks [31]. A study conducted about the prevalence of 60 game birds with intestinal parasites, these birds including parrot (*Psittaci formes*), dove (*Streptopelia chinensis*), budgerigar (*Melopsittac usundulatus*), cockatoo (*Cacatuidae*) and teeter (*Franocdinus pondicerianus*) [32].

According to the study of Albeshr & Alrefai, [33], it was discovered that genetypic diversity and occurrence of *Trichomonas gallinae* in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Among domestic and wild pigeons, the ratio of diseases was compared that caused by *T. gallinae* then infections were identified that significantly complex in domestic pigeons. For the first time, the variety of *T. gallinae* strains were discovered in the birds of Saudi Arabia and concluded that among Riyadh bird's the ribotypes A or C are dominant [33].

Coprologic examination discovered that the general frequency of intestinal parasitic disease (45%), in this (21.67%) Ascaridia galli, (10%) for Balantidium coli and (13.33%) for Eimeria spp. The epidemiology of Ascaridia galli recorded as (28.7%) teeter, (22.22%) budgerigar and (16.6%) parrot individually. In budgerigar, Eimeria spp. was 16.67% and in cockatoo 16.67% but in parrot whereas Ascaridia galli existed maximum as 25%. On the other hand, the epidemiology of Balantidium coli 44.44% was maximum in the dove as compared to *Ascaridia galli* 22.22%. In the teetar, the occurrence of *Ascaridia galli* remained maximum as 28.57% as compared to *Eimeria spp* 14.23% [22].

The study also conducted on poultry birds including domestic chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) because of infection of birds by illnesses, affecting living creatures as well as parasites [34]. This research based on the occurrence of intestinal parasites in indigenous and unusual varieties of chickens in Pankrono-Kumasi (Ashanti Region of Ghana). The percentage prevalence of local strains recorded as 76.0%, which making them more liable strain to intestinal parasites. Therefore, it was suggested that farmers should educate on farm supervisory practices that will decrease the threat of disease and aid to enhance production which fulfill the request of customers [35].

Sample of domestic birds including 4 pigeons (*Columbia livie*), 6 ducks (*Anas sparsa*), and 13 chickens (*Gallus gallus* domestica) were screened for ecto- and endo-parasites. Results showed that all chickens as 100% and some pigeons like 50% were detected to be diseased by endo-parasites and also calculated their prevalence rate. From this study, it was reported that ecto-and endo-parasites are main significances of the bird's wandering and feeding ways [36].

Birds	Species of parasites	Prevalence (%)	Sex	Country/Region	Reference
		35	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		82	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		63.8	-	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
	C. columbae	66.9	м	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		60.2	F	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		86.66	-	KPK, Pakistan	[54]
		56.36	-	Iran	[55]
	G. gallinae	18	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		1	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		37.1	-	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
	P. canariensis	38.6	М	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		35.4	F	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		36.36	-	Iran	[55]
		15	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		6.3	-	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
	N A a a a a a b i b i a a	3	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
	Menopon gallinae	3.1	м	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
Pigeon (Columba livia)		9.7	F	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		21.81	-	Iran	[55]
		20	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		10.8	-	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
	Goniodes dissimilis	10.2	м	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		11.5	F	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
	Dermanyssus gallinae	1.6	М	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		3.5	F	Zaria, Nageria	[53]
		25	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
	Lipeurus caponis	16.36	-	Iran	[55]
	Chelopistes meleagridis	5	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		56	-	Saudi Arabia.	[33]
	Trichomonas gallinae	67.27	-	Iran	[55]
		75.78	-	Bursa, Turkey	[27]
	Eimeria labbeana	23.63	-	Iran	[55]
	Countrannoid and a state	2.7	-	Iran	[37]
	Cryptosporialum meleagridis	3.63	-	Iran	[55]

Table 1: Prevalence of parasites in some fancy birds.

		11.3	-	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	Ascaridia columbae	10.2	м	Zaria. Nigeria	[53]
		12.4	F	Zaria Nigeria	[53]
		27.8	M	Lahore Pakistan	[55]
		40	IVI	Lahore, Pakistan	[50]
		21.91	F		[50]
		21.81	-		[55]
	-	22	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		3.3	-	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	Ascaridia galli	3.1	M	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	-	3.5	F	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
		7.27	-	Iran	[55]
	R. echinobothrida	85	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		32	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		10.8	-	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
		11	М	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
		10.6	F	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
		18.18	-	Iran	[55]
		5	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
	A. cuneate	0.8	М	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
		0.9	F	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	C. contorta	10	-	Benin, Nigera	[36]
		18	-	Tripoli, Libya	[27]
		3.3	-	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	H. gallinarum	3.1	м	Zaria. Nigeria	[53]
		3.5	F	Zaria, Nigeria	[53]
	Argas persicus	62 72	· ·	Sulaimani Iran	[57]
		7.46	_	Benin Nigera	[36]
	Manacanthus straminaus	7.40	-	Sulaimani Irag	[50]
	Canicastas gallingo	72.92	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Goniocotes gailinae	54.17	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Goniodes gigas	17.91	-	Benin, Nigeria	[30]
		39.58	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Menopon gallinae	22.39	-	Benin, Nigeria	[36]
		37.5	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Cuclotogaster heterographus	4.48	-	Benin, Nigeria	[36]
		10.42	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Liperus caponis	17.91	-	Benin, Nigeria	[36]
	Leucocytozoon sp.	0	-	Diyala's localities ,Iraq	[58]
	Leucocytozoon sp.	0 13	-	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan	[58] [58]
	Leucocytozoon sp.	0 13 13.2		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq	[58] [58] [58]
	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp.	0 13 13.2 24.4	- - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan	[58] [58] [58] [58]
	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp.	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6	- - - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58]
(hicken (Gallus gallus demosticus)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp.	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5	- - - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp.	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15	- - - - - - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36]
Chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp.	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5	- - - - - - - - - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35]
Chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [59]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66		Diyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanFaisalabad, PakistanFaisalabad, PakistanTabriz, Iran	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [59] [58]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Diyala's localities ,Iraq Diyala's localities ,Iraq Diyala's localities ,Iraq Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Faisalabad, Pakistan Tabriz, Iran Sulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [59] [59] [59]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Sulaimani, Iraq Sulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [59] [59] [57] [35]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi, Ghana Benin, Nigera	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [58] [57] [58] [57] [35] [35] [35]
Chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2		Diyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi, GhanaBenin, NigeraBenin, NigeraBenin, NigeraKumasi Ghana	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [59] [59] [59] [58] [57] [35] [35] [35] [35]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2 3.45		Diyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqKumasi, GhanaBenin, NigeraKumasi, GhanaSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [58] [57] [35] [36] [35] [36] [35]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2 3.45 9.5		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi, Ghana Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [59] [57] [35] [36] [35] [35] [57] [35]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2 3.45 9.5 7.5		Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Layyah, Punjab, Pakistan Diyala's localities ,Iraq Diyala's localities ,Iraq Aunasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Faisalabad, Pakistan Tabriz, Iran Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi, Ghana Benin, Nigera Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq Kumasi Ghana Sulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [59] [57] [35] [57] [35] [36] [35] [35] [57] [35] [37]
Chicken (<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina Raillietina spp	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2 3.45 9.5 7.5 55.17		Diyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaBenin, NigeraSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, Iraq	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [57] [57] [35] [57] [35] [35] [35] [57] [35] [35] [35] [35] [57] [35] [57]
Chicken (Gallus gallus domesticus)	Leucocytozoon sp. Haemproteus sp. Plasmodium sp. Ascaridia galli Heterakis gallinarum Prosthogonimus species Davainea proglottina Raillietina spp	0 13 13.2 24.4 2.6 31.5 1.15 32.5 21.29 31 19 2.81 21.66 81 1.5 5.38 2 3.45 9.5 7.5 55.17 19 7		Diyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanDiyala's localities ,IraqLayyah, Punjab, PakistanBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaFaisalabad, PakistanSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaBenin, NigeraKumasi GhanaSulaimani, IraqKumasi GhanaSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, IraqBenin, NigeraSulaimani, IraqBenin, NigeraSulaimani, IraqBenin, NigeraBenin, NigeraSulaimani, IraqBenin, NigeraSulaimani, IraqSulaimani, Ir	[58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [36] [35] [59] [57] [35] [59] [58] [57] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35] [57] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35] [35

	Eimeria species	1	-	Iran	[37]
		50	-	northern Jordan	[58]
	Canilaria Con	14.5	-	Kumasi Ghana	[35]
	Capilaria. Spp	1.72	-	Sulaimani, Iraq	[57]
	Anaticola cassicornis	100	M/F		
	Lipeurus caponis	100	M/F		
	Goniocotes hologaster	75	М		
		100	F		
	Menopon gallinae	93.75	М		
		100	F		
		62.5	М		
	wenacantnas strammeas	71.43	F		
	Holomenopon leucoxanthum	56.25	М		
		64.28	F		[60]
		37.5	М		
	Goniocotes gigas	35.71	F		
	Colorooph olympitudia atura	25	М		
	Colpocephalum turbinatum	50	F		
		25	М		
	Echinoparyphium recurvatum	35.71	F		
	F . (18.75	М		
	E. elegans	28.57	F		
		12.5	М		
	E. trivolvus	14.28	F		
DUCK		18.75	М	Dhaka, Bangladesh	
	Echinostoma revolutum	21.43	F		
	Districture	18.75	М		
	P. longicirratus	35.71	F		
	H. lanceolata	43.75	М		
		78.57	F		
		62.5	М		
	H. columbae	85.71	F		
		62.5	М		
	R. bonini	64.28	F		
		56.25	М		
	R. CESTICIIIUS	57.14	F		
		50	М		
	R. echinobothrida	50	F		
	C discourses	31.25	М		
	C. algonopora	50	F		
	Cabala in all and	25	М		
	Sobolevicantnus sp	35.71	F		
	A	43.75	М		
	A. gaili	85.71	F		
	Menacanthus stramineus	10.89	-		
	Columbicola columbae	9.9	-	Pahawalawa Zoo	[64]
	Echidnophaga gallinacean	6.93	-	Banawaipur 200	[10]
	Argus persicus	5.94	-		
	Menopon sp.	7.14	-		
	Menacuntus sp	28.57	-		
	Colpocephalum tausi	50	-		
Peacock	Amyrsidea minuta	7.14	-		
	Lipeureus caponis	7.14	-		
	Amidostomum sp.	20.8	-	Ben Aknoun, Algeria	[62]
	Capillaria sp	25			
	Chilomastix sp.	16.7	-		
	Cooperia sp	4.2	-		
	Cyathostoma branchalis	8.3	-		
	Eimaria sp	58.2			

	Giardia sp	4.2	-		
	Strongyloides sp	16.7	-		
	Amidostomum sp	25	-		
	Capillaria sp	25	-		
	Eimeria sp	33.3	-		
	A	25	-	Dhaka	[22]
Parrot (<i>Psittaci forms</i>)	Ascariaia	26.14	-	Lahore, Pakistan	[63]

A cross-sectional study was conducted in 451 birds including sparrow, hen, pigeon and decorative birds. It was found that 157 (34.8 %), species were diseased by single or other variety of gastrointestinal parasites. In these species, there was no any trematode species but identified two cestoda, five protozoan parasites and two nematode species in the samples. The bird parasites identified as *Raillietina spp.* (4.2 %) and *Eimeria spp.* (7.1 %) were the most widely recognized helminthes and protozoa individually. Hence, overall study of the birds, it was investigated that there were 12 (2.7 %) and 6 (1.3 %) have two and three diverse infections correspondingly. So, we can say that in birds, intestinal parasitic diseases are common in west Iran. Therefore, future investigations are required to decide to which degree the contaminations impact mortality and execution of the birds [37].

Molecular detection of fancy bird parasites

To establish the relationships among isolates, molecular techniques were introduced for the presence and characterization of parasites after the method of choice i.e. light microscopy. For the isolation of trichomonads, a detailed study is required, which includes in vitro and in vivo analysis [38].

Occurrence and molecular classification of *Enterocytozoon* bieneusi and *Encephalitozoon spp*. in rabbits identified from inadequate epidemiology information. The total frequency of microsporidia syndrome existed as 24.8% through nested PCR targeting the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region of *E. bieneusi* and *Encephalitozoon spp*. individually. In the *Encephalitozoon* cuniculi (n = 34, 5.8%), it was found the most common species which was *E. bieneusi* (n = 90, 15.4%). In 0.9% rabbits, many infections were detected caused by *E. bieneusi* and *E. cuniculi*. Phylogenetic analysis was observed with the sequence of ITS region of *E. cuniculi*. These results provide initial information for observing microsporidia diseases in domestic rabbits and human beings [39].

After using a standard microscopy method, for the identification of *Trichomonas gallinae* infection in fancy pigeons, a pair of primers was designed i.e. TgF2/TgR2, which based on nuclear ribosomal DNA and used a molecular technique, which develop a PCR assay and their characteristics also identified by phylogenetic analysis. In this assay, it was detected a small amount of DNA which was only 15 pg. All the samples, which were positive *T. gallinae* in microscopic study, also identified positive in PCR assay then further confirmed by sequencing. Phylogenetic analysis and sequencing showed that positive samples of *T. gallinae* were identified as genotype B [40].

Madani & Peighambari, [41] described that in nested Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), 32 (12.6%) samples were positive for *Chlamydia psittaci* by gene (ompA) DNA using CTU/CTL primers and Alul restriction enzyme. In this study, total four restriction patterns were determined. Seven specimens totally resembled with the consequences of PCR-restricted fragment length polymorphism by the restricted sequencing of the ompA gene and also affirmed the existence of genotypes A and B and the two different impermanent genotypes I and J. *Chlamydia psittaci* and *Chlamydia abortus* were very closest with these new genotypes but from evolutionary point of view, specially genotype J was intermediate among *C. psittaci* and *C. abortus* [41].

Due to the shortage of *H. dispar* arrangements, the phylogenetic relations among heterakids were not clear for a long time. The molecular data for *H. dispar* was examined with homological sequences by the restricted 18S rRNA gene and region ITS1-5.8SrRNA-ITS2. As, PCR (18S rRNA) product of *H. dispar* was about 800 bp, and PCR (ITS-5.8S-ITS2) product was approximately 920 bp, unusually smaller size paralleled to H. *gallinarum* product. The examination of BLAST of *H. dispar* 18S sequence indicated a 99% resemblance with the arrangements of *Heterakis gallinarum* and *Ascaridia galli*, A. nymphii (98%), while the sequence of *Heterakis* sp. was 94% (Bobrek et al, 2019)[42]. Phylogenetic analysis shows that the initial effort at the renewal of relations in this superfamily Heterakoidea which is based on 18S rDNA and ITS portion [43].

Rarely, in the non-psittacine birds, a small, non-enveloped, single stranded DNA viruse is present i.e. Circoviruses which was characterized at molecular level as in nested Polymerase Chain Reaction (nested-PCR) for the detection of rep gene of circoviruses. Then different varieties of circoviruses were isolated in pigeon samples [44].

From all birds, samples of brain, cardiac muscles and skeletal muscle were tested by the molecular technique i.e. PCR which targeting a small portion of the gene encoding a minor ribosomal unit (nPCR-18Sa). As a result of both skuas only two samples were positive by nPCR which shows closely related to homologous sequences. To identify the prevalence of disease and its influence on the health of aquatic wildlife, more studies required to isolate, identify and detect these parasites [45].

Analysis of *Leucocytozoon spp.* was done by the PCR and sequencing were more complex as a result of coinfection by two closely related haemosporidians i.e. *Haemoproteus spp.* and *Plasmodium spp.* In this study, it was investigated the outburst of black flies or association of haemosporodians and molecular identification done in both blood parasites and black flies [46]. Avian blood parasites, like *Plasmodium spp.* also, *Haemoproteus spp.*, were discovered globally and transferred by biting [47]. However just restricted data about the existence is accessible in the Republic of Korea (ROK) by PCR. Blood specimens were gathered from 118 wild birds of 27 species in the Chonbuk Province, ROK. However, 53 (45%) were affirmative by PCR focusing with the cytochrome b gene but using microscopic analysis of blood smears only 43 (36%) were positive for avian haemosporidia [48].

Through sequencing of PCR amplicons, 6 (11%) were distinguished i.e. *Plasmodium spp.* and 47 (89%) as *Haemoproteus spp.* Phylogenetic examination utilizing cytochrome b gene discovered that inhabitant and transient birds have very much like hereditary genealogies of the two parasites in ROK, offering the probability in traveler birds that may go about just like a middle person for the parasite between Asian nations [49]. Molecular screening of blood specimens from 109 entities by PCR discovered that indigenous source of disease is present by showing 6% of the examined birds were positive for malarial parasites [50].

In avian populations the family of protozoa i.e. *Haemoproteus* occurs enormously, normally found in the fringe blood of hosts from anyplace on the earth. By using molecular technique (PCR), the occurrence of *Haemoproteus columbae* was found in Iranian pigeons. The prevalence rate of *Haemoproteus columbae* was 23.18% (51/120) in this study [51].

Fancy pigeons which were infected with PiCV (circovirus) could be more sensitive for sever infections of respiratory and digestive tract. Young Pigeon Disease Syndrome (YPDS) has been closely linked with PiCV infection and categorized by high level of genetic recombination and positive selection, which play an important role in the evolution. Against PiCV infection, vaccines are not yet developed. For identifying anti-PiCV antibodies, some recombinant capsid proteins have been found which can be used in the manufacture of diagnostic experiments [52].

Conclusion

The present study confirmed our hypothesis: "occurrence of *ecto*- and *endoparasites*" in various fancy bird species exists in huge number, which causes sever health hazards and impact on human health across the world as well as in Pakistan. Strategies should be devised to regulate the rearing of fancy birds in controlled environment and bird keepers. Parasite control regimes should be strictly followed to avoid economic losses incurred both in birds and their fanciers.

References

- 1. Birdlife International is the largest international Partnership for nature conservation.
- 2. List of birds of Pakistan.
- 3. Hussain I. Farmer Reformer. 2016.
- 4. Kabir A. Analyses on Colour, Structure, and Behaviour of Fancy Pigeons. EC Veterinary Science. 2020; 5: 01-04.
- 5. Tully TN, Dorrestein GM, Jones AK. Handbook of avian medicine. Elsevier/Saunders. 2009.
- 6. Rising bird exports.
- 7. Carlson JC, Engeman RM, Hyatt DR, Gilliland RL, DeLiberto TJ, et al. Efficacy of European starling control to reduce Salmonella enterica contamination in a concentrated animal feeding operation in the Texas panhandle. BMC Vet. Res. 2011; 7: 1-10.
- 8. Boseret G, Losson B, Mainil GJ, Thiry E, Saegerman C. Zoonoses in pet birds: review and perspectives. Vet. Res. 2013; 44: 36.
- 9. Diseases Transmitted by Pet Birds.
- 10. Andre's, Marı'a. Health of Antarctic birds: a review of their parasites, pathogens and diseases. Polar Biol. 2009; 32: 1095-1115.
- 11. Bize P, Jeanneret C, Klopfenstein A, Roulin A. What makes a host profitable? Parasites balance host nutritive resources against immunity. Am. Nat. 2008; 171: 107-118.
- 12. Doneley RJ. Bacterial and parasitic diseases of parrots. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract. 2009; 12: 417-432.

- 13. Didier ES, Weiss LM. Microsporidiosis: current status. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 2006; 19: 485.
- 14. McDougald LR. Histomoniasis (Blackhead) and other protozoan diseases of the intestinal tract. Diseases of Poultry. Ames: Blackwell Academic Publishing Professional. 2008; 1095-1105.
- 15. Cox-Witton K, Reiss A, Woods R. Grillo V, Baker RT, et al. Emerging infectious diseases in free-ranging wildlife–Australian zoo based wildlife hospitals contribute to national surveillance. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e95127.
- Taku Awa II, Khan PV, Tamungang Simon A. Dzoyem Marcel. Effects of seasonal and site variations on the prevalence of parasites on Black-crowned waxbill (Estrilda nonnula) in Dschang and its environs, Cameroon. J App Biol Biotech. 2014; 2: 012-016.
- 17. Nakamura AA, Meireles VM. Cryptosporidium infections in birds - a review. Braz. J. Vet. Parasitol, Jaboticabal. 2015; 24: 253-267.
- Li G, Lin H, Weng Y, Qiu Z, Zhu J, et al. Epizootiological surveys of Leucocytozoon caulleryi infection in chickens from China. Journal of Animal Protozooses (Japan). 2000.
- 19. Amundson CL, Traub NJ, Smith-Herron AJ, Flintet PL. Helminth community structure in two species of arctic-breeding water-fowl. Int J Parasitol: Parasites Wildl. 2016; 5: 263-272.
- Ombugadu A, Echor B, Jibril A, Angbalaga G, Lapang M, et al.. Impact of parasites in captive birds: a review. Curr Res Environ Biodivers. 2018; 04: 1-12.
- 21. Pande VS, Demey F, Verhulst A. Parasitic diseases: a neglected problem in village poultry in Sub-Saharan Africa. In Village poultry production in Africa. International workshop, Rabat (Moroc-co). 1993; 7-11.
- Hasan T, Mazumder S, Hossan MM, Hossain MS, Begum N, et al. Prevalence Of Parasitic Infections Of Game Birds In Dhaka City Corporation, Bangladesh. Bangladesh j. vet. med. 2018; 16: 1-6.
- Farrell MJ, Berrang-Ford L, Davies TJ. The study of parasite sharing for surveillance of zoonotic diseases. Environ. Res. Lett. 2013; 8: 015036.
- 24. Kerry K, Riddle M, Clarke J. Diseases of Antarctic wildlife. A report for SCAR and COMNAP. SCAR. 1999.
- 25. Clarke JUDY, Kerry KNOWLES. Diseases and parasites of penguins. Penguin Conserv. 2000; 13: 5-24.
- 26. Amin A, Bilic I, Liebhart D, Hess M. Trichomonads in birds-a review. Parasitology. 2014; 141: 733-747.
- Alkharigy FA, El Naas AS, Maghrbi AAE. Survey of parasites in domestic pigeons (Columba livia) in Tripoli, Libya. Open Vet. J. 2018; 8: 360-366.
- Papini R, Girivetto M, Marangi M, Mancianti F, Giangaspero A. Endoparasite infections in pet and zoo birds in Italy. The scientific world journal. 2012.
- 29. Quah JX, Ambu S, Lim YAL, Mahdy MAK, Mak JW. Molecular identification of Cryptosporidium parvum from avian hosts. Parasitology. 2011; 138: 573.
- Rufai MA, Jato AO. Assessing the prevalence of gastrointestinal tract parasites of poultry and their environmental risk factors in poultry in Iwo, Osun state Nigeria. Ife Journal of Science. 2017; 19: 7-13.
- Fatima T, Sajid SM, Saleemi KM, Iqbal Z, Siddique MR. Descriptive Epidemiology of Endo-Parasitic Fauna In Layer Birds (Gallus Domesticus) Of Central Punjab, Pakistan. Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 2015; 52: 815-820.

- 32. Soulsby EJL. Text book of: helminths, arthropods, and protozoa of domesticated animals. 1982.
- 33. Albeshr MF, Alrefaei AF. Prevalence and genotyping of Trichomonas gallinae in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. BioRxiv. 2019; 675033.
- 34. Agriculture Organization (Fao) (Ed.). Strengthening the Enabling Environment to Improve Food Security and Nutrition. Food and Agriculture Organization. 2014.
- Asumang P, Akoto Delali J, Wiafe F, Kamil Z, Balali GI, et al. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Parasites in Local and Exotic Breeds of Chickens in Pankrono-Kumasi, Ghana. J Parasitol Res. 2019; 2019: 5746515.
- Edosomwan EU, Igetei EJ. Ecto-and endo-parasites of domestic birds in Owan west, east and Akoko-Edo in Edo state of Nigeria. Int. J. Zool. 2018; 3: 28-35.
- Badparva E, Ezatpour B, Azami M, Badparva M. First report of bird's infection by intestinal parasites in Khorramabad, west Iran. J Parasit Dis. 2015; 39: 720-724.
- Mehlhorn H, Al-Quraishy S, Aziza A, Hess M. Fine structure of the bird parasites Trichomonas gallinae and Tetratrichomonas gallinarum from cultures. Parasitology research. 2009; 105: 751-756.
- 39. Deng L, Chai Y, Xiang L, Wang W, Zhou Z, et al. First identification and genotyping of Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Encephalitozoon spp. in pet rabbits in China. BMC Vet. Res. 2020; 16: 1-8.
- 40. Qiu SB, Lv MN, He X, Weng YB, Zou SS, et al. PCR identification and phylogenetic analysis of Trichomonas gallinae from domestic pigeons in Guangzhou, China. The Korean journal of parasitology. 2017; 55: 333.
- 41. Madani SA, Peighambari SM. PCR-based diagnosis, molecular characterization and detection of atypical strains of avian Chlamydia psittaci in companion and wild birds. Avian Pathology. 2013; 42: 38-44.
- 42. Bobrek K, Hildebrand J, Urbanowicz J, Gaweł A. Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis of Heterakis dispar isolated from geese. Acta Parasitol. 2019; 64: 753-760.
- 43. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular biology and evolution. 2016; 33: 1870-1874.
- 44. Haddadmarandi MR, Madani SA, Nili H, Ghorbani A. Molecular survey of avian circoviruses in some non-psittacine birds and detection of a novel canary circovirus in a pigeon. Iran. J. Vet. Res. 2020; 21: 57.
- 45. Acosta IC, Gennari SM, Llano HA, Soares RM, Muñoz-Leal S, et al. Molecular Characterization of New Haplotype of Genus Sarcocystis in Seabirds from Magdalena Island, Southern Chile. Animals. 2021; 11: 245.
- 46. Jones K, Johnson N, Yang S, Stokes J, Smith W, et al. Investigations into outbreaks of black fly attacks and subsequent avian haemosporidians in backyard-type poultry and other exposed avian species. Avian diseases. 2015; 59: 24-30.
- 47. Tanigawa M, Sato Y, Ejiri H, Imura T, Chiba R, et al. Molecular identification of avian haemosporidia in wild birds and mosquitoes on Tsushima Island, Japan. Journal of Veterinary Medical Science. 2013; 75: 319-326.

- 48. Ishtiaq F, Gering E, Rappole JH, Rahmani AR, Jhala YV, et al. Prevalence and diversity of avian hematozoan parasites in Asia: a regional survey. J Wildl Dis. 2007; 43: 382-398.
- 49. Rhim H, Bae J, Kim H, Han JI. Prevalence and phylogenetic analysis of avian haemosporidia in wild birds in the republic of korea. Journal of wildlife diseases. 2018; 54: 772-781.
- 50. Sturrock HJW, Tompkins DM. Avian malaria parasites (Plasmodium spp.) in Dunedin and on the Otago Peninsula, southern New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology. 2008; 98-102.
- 51. Doosti A, Ahmadi R, Mohammadalipour Z, et al. Detection of Haemoproteus columbae in Iranian pigeons using PCR. Inter Con Biol Civ Environ Eng. 2014; 36-38.
- 52. Stenzel T, Koncicki A.The epidemiology, molecular characterization and clinical pathology of circovirus infections in pigeons– current knowledge. Veterinary Quarterly. 2017; 37: 166-174.
- Adang KL. Ectoparasites And Helminths of Doves and Pigeons (Aves: Columbidae) In Zaria, Nigeria (Doctoral dissertation). 2007.
- 54. Khan W, Gul S, Gul M, Kamal M. Prevalence of parasitic infestation in domestic pigeons at Malakand region, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. International Journal of Biosciences. 2018; 12: 1-7.
- 55. Dehghani-Samani A, Pirali Y, Madreseh-Ghahfarokhi S, Dehghani-Samani A. Parasitic infection status of different native species of Columbidae family in southwest of Iran. Journal of Dairy, Veterinary & Animal Research. 2020; 9: 45-51.
- Ashraf K, Abbas M, Munir M. Prevalence and chemo-therapeutical investigations of gastrointestinal nematodes in domestic pigeons in Lahore, Pakistan. Tropical biomedicine. 2011; 28: 102-110.
- Abdullah SH. Ecto and Endo parasites prevalence in domestic chickens in Sulaimani region. Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2013; 37: 149-155.
- Alasadiy YDK, Alhasnawi AN, Eabaid FA. The Prevalence of Parasitic Infection in Domestic Chicken: A Review. Medico Legal Update. 2020; 20: 864-869.
- Fatima T, Sajid MS, Saleemi MK, Iqbal Z, Siddique RM. Descriptive Epidemiology of Endo-Parasitic Fauna in Layer Birds (Gallus Domesticus) Of Central Punjab, Pakistan. Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 2015; 52: 815-820.
- 60. Begum A, Mukutmoni M, Akter F. Parasite Diversity In Domestic Duck On Anas Platyrhynchos Domesticus From Munshiganj, Dhaka. 2018.
- 61. Khursheed A, Sial N, Malik S, Lashari ML. Parasitic infestation in Peafowl of Bahawalpur Zoo, Punjab, Pakistan. Standard Sci Res Essays. 2014; 2: 401-405.
- 62. Marniche F, Milla A, Dik B, Laloui F, Medkour M, et al. Parasites encountered in captivity birds: case of infested blue peacock– Pavo cristatus Linnaeus, 1758 (Aves: Phasianidae) in different localities from Algeria. Oltenia, Studii si communicari, Stiintele Naturii. 2017; 33: 79-84.
- Raza A, Qamar MF. Comparison of in pouch Tf Kits and Diamond's Medium for Trichomonas Gallinae Identification in Domestic Pigeons. Japs: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2017; 27.